arXiv:1008.0190v1 [math.AG] 1 Aug 2010

Deformation of the O'Grady moduli spaces

Arvid Perego, Antonio Rapagnetta

August 3, 2010

Abstract

In this paper we study moduli spaces of sheaves on an abelian or projective K3 surface. If S is a K3, $v = 2w$ is a Mukai vector on S, where w is primitive and $w^2 = 2$, and H is a $v-$generic polarization on S, then the moduli space M_v of $H-$semistable sheaves on S whose Mukai vector is v admits a symplectic resolution $\widetilde{M}_v$. A particular case is the 10−dimensional O'Grady example $\widetilde{M}_{10}$ of irreducible symplectic manifold. We show that $\widetilde{M}_v$ is an irreducible symplectic manifold which is deformation equivalent to $\widetilde{M}_{10}$ and that $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ is Hodge isometric to the sublattice $v^\perp$ of the Mukai lattice of S. Similar results are shown when S is an abelian surface.

1 Introduction and notations

Moduli spaces of semistable sheaves on abelian or projective K3 surfaces are an important tool to produce examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds. In the following, S will denote an abelian or projective K3 surface.

An element $v \in \widetilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z}) := H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ will be written it as $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$, where $v_i \in H^{2i}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, and $v_0, v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $v_0 \geq 0$ and $v_1 \in NS(S)$, then v is called *Mukai vector*. Recall that $\widetilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ has a pure weight-two Hodge structure defined as

$$\widetilde{H}^{2,0}(S) := H^{2,0}(S), \qquad \widetilde{H}^{0,2}(S) := H^{0,2}(S),$$
$$\widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S) := H^0(S, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{1,1}(S) \oplus H^4(S, \mathbb{C}),$$

and a lattice structure with respect to the Mukai pairing $(.,.)$. In the following, we let $v^2 := (v, v)$ for every Mukai vector v; moreover, for every Mukai vector v define the sublattice

$$v^\perp := \{\alpha \in \widetilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \,|\, (\alpha, v) = 0\} \subseteq \widetilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which inherits a pure weight-two Hodge structure from the one on $\widetilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z})$.

If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on S, we define its *Mukai vector* to be

$$v(\mathscr{F}) := ch(\mathscr{F})\sqrt{td(S)} = (rk(\mathscr{F}), c_1(\mathscr{F}), ch_2(\mathscr{F}) + \epsilon rk(\mathscr{F})),$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ if S is K3, and $\epsilon = 0$ if S is abelian. Let H be an ample line bundle on S. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every coherent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$, let $\mathscr{F}(nH) := \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{O}_S(nH)$. The Hilbert polynomial of $\mathscr{F}$ with respect to H is $P_H(\mathscr{F})(n) := \chi(\mathscr{F}(nH))$, and the reduced Hilbert polynomial of $\mathscr{F}$ with respect to H is

$$p_H(\mathscr{F}) := \frac{P_H(\mathscr{F})}{\alpha_H(\mathscr{F})},$$

where $\alpha_H(\mathscr{F})$ is the coefficient of the term of highest degree in $P_H(\mathscr{F})$.

Definition 1.1. *A coherent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ is $H-$stable (resp. $H-$semistable) if it is pure and for every proper $\mathscr{E} \subseteq \mathscr{F}$ we have $p_H(\mathscr{E})(n) < p_H(\mathscr{F})(n)$ (resp. $p_H(\mathscr{E})(n) \leq p_H(\mathscr{F})(n)$) for $n \gg 0$.*

Let H be a polarization and v a Mukai vector on S. We write $M_v(S,H)$ (resp. $M_v^s(S,H)$) for the moduli space of $H-$semistable (resp. $H-$stable) sheaves on S with Mukai vector v. If no confusion on S and H is possible, we drop them from the notation.

From now on, we suppose that H is a $v-$generic polarization (for a definition, see section 2.1). We write $v = mw$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and w is a primitive Mukai vector on S. It is known that if $M_v^s \neq \emptyset$, then M_v^s is smooth, quasi-projective, of dimension v^2+2 and carries a symplectic form (see Mukai [12]). If S is abelian, a further construction is necessary: choose $\mathscr{F}_0 \in M_v(S,H)$, and define $a_v : M_v(S,H) \longrightarrow S \times \widehat{S}$ in the following way (see [25]): let $p_{\widehat{S}} : S \times \widehat{S} \longrightarrow \widehat{S}$ be the projection and $\mathscr{P}$ the Poincaré bundle on $S \times \widehat{S}$. For every $\mathscr{F} \in M_v(S,H)$ we let

$$a_v(\mathscr{F}) := (det(p_{\widehat{S}!}((\mathscr{F} - \mathscr{F}_0) \otimes (\mathscr{P} - \mathscr{O}_{S\times\widehat{S}})), det(\mathscr{F}) \otimes det(\mathscr{F}_0)^{-1}).$$

Moreover, we define $K_v(S,H) := a_v^{-1}(0_S, \mathscr{O}_S)$, where 0_S is the zero of S. Moreover, we denote $\widehat{H}$ the dual polarization on $\widehat{S}$.

If S is a projective K3 surface, $v = w$ (i. e. $m = 1$) and H is $v-$generic, the moduli space $M_v(S,H)$ is well understood thanks to the work of several authors (see Mukai [13], Beauville [1], O'Grady [14], Yoshioka [22], [23]). The final result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. ***(Mukai, Yoshioka)**. Let S be an abelian or projective K3 surface, v a primitive Mukai vector and H a $v-$generic polarization. Then $M_v(S,H) = M_v^s(S,H)$, and we have the following results:*

1. *if S is K3 and $v^2 = -2$, then $M_v(S,H)$ is a reduced point;*
2. *if S is K3 and $v^2 = 0$, then $M_v(S,H)$ is a K3 surface and there is a Hodge isometry between $v^\perp/\mathbb{Z}\cdot v$ and $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$;*
3. *if S is K3 and $v^2 \geq 2$, then M_v is an irreducible symplectic variety of dimension $2n = v^2+2$, which is deformation equivalent to $Hilb^n(S)$, the Hilbert scheme of $n-$points on S. Moreover, there is a Hodge isometry between $v^\perp$ and $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$, where the latter has a lattice structure given by the Beauville form;*
4. *if S is abelian and $v^2 \geq 6$, then $K_v(S,H)$ is an irreducible symplectic variety of dimension $2n = v^2-2$, which is deformation equivalent to $K^n(S)$, the generalized Kummer variety on S, and there is a Hodge isometry between $v^\perp$ and $H^2(K_v,\mathbb{Z})$.*

If v is not primitive, then M_v can be singular: it is natural to ask if there is a *symplectic resolution* of M_v, i. e. a resolution of singularities $\pi_v : \widetilde{M}_v \longrightarrow M_v$ such that on $\widetilde{M}_v$ there is a symplectic form extending the one on M_v^s. The first result appearing in the literature is the following:

Theorem 1.3. ***(O'Grady).***

1. *Let S be a projective K3 surface, $v := (2,0,-2)$ and H a $v-$generic polarization. Then $M_{10} := M_v(S,H)$ admits a symplectic resolution $\pi : \widetilde{M}_{10} \longrightarrow M_{10}$, and $\widetilde{M}_{10}$ is an irreducible symplectic variety of dimension 10 and second Betti number 24.*

2. *Let S be an abelian surface, $v := (2,0,-2)$ and H a $v-$generic polarization. Then $K_6 := K_v(S,H)$ admits a symplectic resolution $\pi : \widetilde{K}_6 \longrightarrow K_6$, and $\widetilde{K}_6$ is an irreducible symplectic variety of dimension 6 and second Betti number 8.*

The first example is studied by O'Grady in [15], the computation of its second Betti number is started in [15] and completed in [19]. The second example is contained in [16]. For the remaining cases, we have the following answer about the existence of symplectic resolution (see [11], [8]):

Theorem 1.4. *Let S be an abelian or projective K3 surface, $v = mw$ a Mukai vector such that $m \geq 2$ and $w^2 = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $w = (r, \xi, a)$, suppose that either $r > 0$ and $\xi \in NS(S)$, or $r = 0$, ξ is the first Chern class of an effective divisor and $a \neq 0$. Finally, let H be a $v-$generic polarization. Then:*

1. *if $m = 2$ and $w^2 = 2$, then $M_v(S,H)$ admits a symplectic resolution $\pi_v : \widetilde{M}_v = \widetilde{M}_v(S,H) \longrightarrow M_v$, obtained as the blow-up of M_v along the singular locus $\Sigma_v = M_v \setminus M_v^s$ with reduced structure* ***(Lehn-Sorger)****;*

2. *if $m \geq 3$, or $m = 2$ and $k \geq 2$, then $M_v(S,H)$ does not admit any symplectic resolution and it is locally factorial* ***(Kaledin-Lehn-Sorger)****.*

In this paper, we deal with the moduli spaces verifying the conditions of point 1 of Theorem 1.4. We resume these conditions in the following:

Definition 1.5. *Let S be an abelian or projective K3 surface, v a Mukai vector, H an ample line bundle on S. We say that (S,v,H) is an OLS-triple if the following conditions are verified:*

1. *the polarization H is primitive and $v-$generic as in Definition 2.1;*

2. *we have $v = 2w$, where w is a primitive Mukai vector such that $w^2 = 2$;*

3. *if $w = (r, \xi, a)$, we have $r \geq 0$, $\xi \in NS(S)$, and if $r = 0$ then ξ is the first Chern class of an effective divisor.*

The name OLS-triple is chosen because they were first studied by O'Grady in [15], [16] and Lehn-Sorger in [11]. If (S,v,H) is an OLS-triple, then $M_v(S,H)$ admits a symplectic resolution $\widetilde{M}_v(S,H)$ obtained by blowing-up $M_v(S,H)$ along its singular locus with reduced structure. If S is abelian, let

$$\widetilde{K}_v = \widetilde{K}_v(S,H) := \pi_v^{-1}(K_v),$$

and we still write $\pi_v : \widetilde{K}_v \longrightarrow K_v$ for the symplectic resolution.

The aim of the present paper it to generalize Theorem 1.2 to OLS-triples. Namely, we prove the two following theorems, which are the main results of this paper:

Theorem 1.6. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple.*

1. *If S is K3, then $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$ is an irreducible symplectic variety which is deformation equivalent to $\widetilde{M}_{10}$.*
2. *If S is abelian, then $\widetilde{K}_v(S, H)$ is an irreducible symplectic variety which is deformation equivalent to $\widetilde{K}_6$.*

The proof of this Theorem is contained in Section 2. The idea is to use deformations of the moduli spaces and of their symplectic resolutions induced by deformations of the underlying surfaces, and isomorphisms between moduli spaces with different Mukai vectors which are induced by Fourier-Mukai transforms (the main ingredient here is given by some results of Yoshioka, see [24]).

Theorem 1.7. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple.*

1. *If S is K3, then $\pi_v^* : H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Z})$ is injective, and the restrictions to $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ of the pure weight-two Hodge structure and of the Beauville form on $H^2(\widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Z})$ give a pure weight-two Hodge structure and a lattice structure on $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, there is a Hodge isometry*
$$\lambda_v : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z}).$$
2. *If S is abelian, then $\pi_v^* : H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{K}_v, \mathbb{Z})$ is injective, and the restrictions to $H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z})$ of the pure weight-two Hodge structure and of the Beauville form on $H^2(\widetilde{K}_v, \mathbb{Z})$ give a pure weight-two Hodge structure and a lattice structure on $H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, there is a Hodge isometry*
$$\nu_v : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The proof of this is contained in Section 3. The reason why π_v^* is injective is because the singularities of M_v and K_v are rational. The construction of the morphism λ_v is a generalization of that of the Mukai-Donaldson morphism. Using Theorem 1.6 and some commutativity of diagrams we can reduce to the case of M_{10} or K_6: there one finally uses results of [18] and [19] to conclude.

2 Deformations of moduli spaces

In this section we study how moduli spaces and their symplectic resolutions vary under deformation. In section 2.1 we recall the notion of $v-$genericity of polarizations. In section 2.2 we introduce the main deformation we will look at, i. e. the deformation of a moduli space and of its symplectic resolution induced by a deformation of an OLS-triple along a smooth, connected curve. In section 2.3 we give explicit deformations of OLS-triples whose Mukai vector has positive rank, and in section 2.4 we use these and some results of [24] to prove Theorem 1.6.

2.1 The $v-$genericity and properties

In this section we recall the definition of $v-$generic polarization. Let S be an abelian or projective K3 surface, $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ a Mukai vector on S. Moreover, let H be any polarization, i. e. $H \in Amp(S)$, where $Amp(S) \subseteq NS(S)$ is the ample cone of S.

Definition 2.1. *A polarization H is $v-$generic if for every $H-$polystable sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ of Mukai vector v and every direct summand $\mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{E}$, we have $v(\mathscr{F}) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot v$.*

We give a characterization of the $v-$genericity of a polarization. Let H be any ample divisor on S, and let $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ be a Mukai vector. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be an $H-$semistable sheaf with Mukai vector v, and let $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{E}$ an $H-$destabilizing subsheaf with Mukai vector $u := (u_0, u_1, u_2)$.

Definition 2.2. *The divisor associated to the pair $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is defined as follows:*

1. *if $v_0 > 0$, it is the divisor $D := u_0 v_1 - v_0 u_1$;*
2. *if $v_0 = 0$, it is the divisor $D := u_2 v_1 - v_2 u_1$.*

The set of the non-zero divisors associated to all the possible pairs is denoted $W_v(H)$.

The characterisation of the $v-$genericity is the following:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ be a Mukai vector such that if $v_0 = 0$, then $v_2 \neq 0$. A polarization H is $v-$generic if and only if $W_v(H) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. We present a proof for $v_0 > 0$, as the one for $v_0 = 0$ is similar. Suppose that $W_v(H) = \emptyset$, and let $\mathscr{E}$ be a strictly $H-$polystable sheaf with Mukai vector v. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a direct summand of $\mathscr{E}$, and let $v(\mathscr{F}) = (u_0, u_1, u_2)$. Recall that

$$p_H(\mathscr{E}) = n^2 + 2\frac{v_1 \cdot H}{v_0 H^2} n + 2\frac{v_0 + v_2}{v_0 H^2}, \quad p_H(\mathscr{F}) = n^2 + 2\frac{u_1 \cdot H}{u_0 H^2} n + 2\frac{u_0 + u_2}{u_0 H^2},$$

and as $\mathscr{F}$ is a direct summand of $\mathscr{E}$, we have $p_H(\mathscr{E}) = p_H(\mathscr{F})$. This gives $u_2 = (u_0/v_0)v_2$ and as $W_v(H) = \emptyset$, the divisor D associated to the pair $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is trivial, so that $u_1 = (u_0/v_0)v_1$. Hence $u = (u_0/v_0)v$, and H is $v-$generic.

For the converse, suppose that H is $v-$generic. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be an $H-$semistable sheaf with Mukai vector v, and let $\mathscr{F}$ be any $H-$destabilizing subsheaf of $\mathscr{E}$ with Mukai vector $u = (u_0, u_1, u_2)$. The $v-$genericity of H implies that $u = (p/q)v$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that $p/q = u_0/v_0$, and that $u_1 = (u_0/v_0)v_1$. Then the divisor associated to the pair $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is trivial, and we are done. ☐

We now study the relation between the notion of $v-$genericity given in Definition 2.1 and those of [23] and of Appendix 4.C of [7]. To do so, we recall the notions of $v-$walls and $v-$chambers: as these notions when $v_0 > 0$ are different than when $v_0 = 0$, we need to divide in these two cases.

2.1.1 Walls and chambers for $v_0 \geq 2$

In this section we suppose $v_0 \geq 2$. If S is a K3 surface, let

$$|v| := \frac{v_0^2}{4}(v, v) + \frac{v_0^4}{2}.$$

If S is abelian, let

$$|v| := \frac{v_0^2}{4}(v, v) + \frac{v_0^2}{2}.$$

Notice that $|v|$ depends only on (v, v) and v_0, and as $v_0 \geq 2$, then $|v| > 0$. Hence it makes sense to define

$$W_v := \{D \in NS(S) \mid -|v| \leq D^2 < 0\}.$$

By Theorem 4.C.3 of [7], we have $W_v(H) \subseteq W_v$ for every $H \in Amp(S)$.

Definition 2.4. *Let $D \in W_v$. The $v-$wall associated to D is*

$$W^D := \{\alpha \in Amp(S) \,|\, D \cdot \alpha = 0\}.$$

Notice that the $v-$wall associated to $D \in W_v$ is a hyperplane in $Amp(S)$. By Theorem 4.C.2 of [7] the subset $\bigcup_{D \in W_v} W^D \subseteq Amp(S)$ is locally finite.

Definition 2.5. *A connected component of $Amp(S) \setminus \bigcup_{D \in W_v} W^D$ is called $v-$chamber.*

A $v-$chamber is then an open connected subcone of $Amp(S)$. Now, these $v-$chambers are important as if a polarization is in a $v-$chamber, then it is $v-$generic, as shown in the following:

Lemma 2.6. *Let $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ be a Mukai vector such that $v_0 \geq 2$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $v-$chamber. If $H \in \mathcal{C}$, then H is $v-$generic.*

Proof. If $H \in \mathcal{C}$, then $H \notin W^D$, i. e. $D \cdot H \neq 0$ for every $D \in W_v$. As $W_v(H) \subseteq W_v$, we have that H is $v-$generic. $\square$

If H is a $v-$generic polarization, then it is not necessarily contained in some $v-$chamber. It is easy to produce examples of $v-$generic polarizations lying on some $v-$wall, as the following:

Example 2.7. Let Y be an elliptic K3 surface with $NS(Y) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot f$, where σ is a section and f is a fiber. The line bundle $H = \sigma + 3f$ is ample, hence it gives a polarization on Y. Consider the Mukai vector $v := (2, \sigma + 2f, a)$, where a is odd and $a \leq 1$. Then v is primitive, $v^2 \geq -2$ and $|v| \geq 6$. Notice that $D := \sigma - f$ is such that $D^2 = -4$, so that $D \in W_v$, and $D \cdot H = 0$, so that H is not in a $v-$chamber. Nevertheless, H is $v-$generic: if $\mathscr{E}$ is an $H-$semistable sheaf of Mukai vector v, then $\chi(\mathscr{E}) = a + 2$ is odd, so that $\mathscr{E}$ cannot be strictly $H-$semistable: if it was not $H-$stable, then $\chi(\mathscr{E})$ would be even. Hence $W_v(H) = \emptyset$, so that H is $v-$generic by Lemma 2.3.

In general, the moduli space $M_v(S, H)$ depends on the choice of H. We now show that $M_v(S, H)$ does not change when H is a $v-$generic polarization moving in the closure of a $v-$chamber.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ be a Mukai vector such that $v_0 \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $v-$chamber, and suppose $H, H' \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are two $v-$generic polarizations. Then a sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ of Mukai vector v is $H-$semistable if and only if it is $H'-$semistable, i. e. there is an identification $M_v(S, H) = M_v(S, H')$.*

Proof. We present a proof for $H' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $H \in \partial\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. The same proof works for $H, H' \in \mathcal{C}$ (see even [26] for a complete proof in this case), so that we are done. Let

$$[H, H'] := \{H_t := tH + (1-t)H' \,|\, t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

As $H' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a convex cone in $Amp(S)$, then $[H, H'] \cap \partial(\overline{\mathcal{C}}) = \{H\}$. We show that if a sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ is $H-$semistable and $v(\mathscr{E}) = v$, then $\mathscr{E}$ is $H'-$semistable. The statement then follows: we have an inclusion $f : M_v(S, H) \longrightarrow M_v(S, H')$. As $H' \in \mathcal{C}$, then $M_v(S, H')$ and $M_v(S, H)$ are both irreducible, reduced, normal and have the same dimension, hence f is an isomorphism. Recall that if $\mathscr{G}$ is a sheaf with $v(\mathscr{G})=(v_0,v_1,v_2)$, $v_0>0$ and L is a polarization, then

$$p_L(\mathscr{G})=n^2+2\frac{v_1\cdot L}{v_0L^2}+2\frac{v_2+v_0}{v_0L^2}.$$

Let $\mathscr{E}$ be $H-$semistable with $v(\mathscr{E})=(v_0,v_1,v_2)$, $v_0>0$, and suppose that $\mathscr{E}$ is not $H'-$semistable. Then there is $\mathscr{F}\subseteq\mathscr{E}$ with $v(\mathscr{F}):=(u_0,u_1,u_2)$ such that $p_{H'}(\mathscr{F})>p_{H'}(\mathscr{E})$, hence $u_0>0$. Suppose that there are $c,d\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $cu_1=dv_1$. For every polarization L we then have

$$\frac{u_1\cdot L}{u_0}=\left(\frac{dv_0}{cu_0}\right)\frac{v_1\cdot L}{v_0}. \tag{1}$$

As $\mathscr{F}$ is $H'-$destabilizing, we have either $u_1\cdot H'/u_0>v_1\cdot H'/v_0$, or $u_1\cdot H'/u_0=v_1\cdot H'/v_0$ and $u_2/u_0>v_2/v_0$. The first condition implies $dv_0/cu_0>1$. Hence $u_1\cdot H/u_0>v_1\cdot H/v_0$ by equation (1), which is not possible as $\mathscr{E}$ is $H-$semistable. The second condition implies $du_0/cv_0=1$, so that $u_1\cdot H/u_0=v_1\cdot H/v_0$. As $u_2/u_0>v_2/v_0$, we get $p_H(\mathscr{F})>p_H(\mathscr{E})$, which again is not possible.

In conclusion, u_1 is not a rational multiple of v_1. As $\mathscr{E}$ is $H-$semistable, we have $u_1\cdot H/u_0\leq v_1\cdot H/v_0$. Let D be the divisor associated to the pair $(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{F})$. If $u_1\cdot H/u_0=v_1\cdot H/v_0$, then we have $D\cdot H=0$. As H is $v-$generic, we have $D=0$, i. e. $u_1=(u_0/v_0)v_1$, which is not possible as u_1 is not a rational multiple of v_1. In conclusion, we need $u_1\cdot H/u_0<v_1\cdot H/v_0$. As $\mathscr{F}$ is $H'-$destabilizing, then $u_1\cdot H'/u_0\geq v_1\cdot H'/v_0$. The function

$$f:[0,1]\longrightarrow\mathbb{R},\qquad f(t):=D\cdot H_t$$

is continuous with $f(0)<0$, $f(1)\geq 0$. Hence there is $t\in(0,1]$ such that $f(t)=0$. As $D\cdot H\neq 0$, we get $D\neq 0$, so that $D\in W_v$. Moreover, we have $D\cdot H_t=0$, so that $H_t\in W^D$. As $t>0$, we have then $H_t\in\mathcal{C}$, hence we get a contradiction, and we are done. ☐

Remark 2.9. If (S,v,H) is an OLS-triple where $v=2(r,\xi,a)$ with $r>0$, then M_v is non-empty (this was shown by Yoshioka, as signaled in [8]) and it is a $10-$dimensional irreducible, normal, projective variety whose singular locus is $\Sigma_v:=M_v\setminus M_v^s$, and there is a symplectic resolution $\pi_v:\widetilde{M}_v\longrightarrow M_v$ obtained as blow-up of M_v along Σ_v with reduced structure. This is contained in [11]: even if formally stated by asking that H is in a $v-$chamber, the argument applies to every $v-$generic polarization. Moreover, by Proposition 2.8 we can always assume that H is in a $v-$chamber.

2.1.2 Walls and chambers for $v_0=0$

In this section we deal with the case $v=(0,v_1,v_2)$. The definition of $v-$generic polarization we gave is, for rank 0, formally the same as the one for positive rank. In any case, as Yoshioka first remarked, if $v_0=0$ it can happen that there is no $v-$generic polarization at all, as shown in the following:

Example 2.10. Let $v:=(0,c_1(L),0)$ be primitive, and suppose that there are two distinct irreducible curves $C_1,C_2\in|L|$. For $i=1,2$ let $L_i\in Pic(C_i)$ be a line bundle of degree $d_i=g(C_i)-1$, and let j_i be the inclusion of C_i in

S. Then $j_{i*}L_i$ has Mukai vector $v_i = (0, C_i, 0)$, and it is $H-$stable for every $H \in Amp(S)$. Moreover $j_{1*}L_1 \oplus j_{2*}L_2$ is strictly $H-$semistable of Mukai vector v, and H is not $v-$generic.

We now define walls and chambers for $v = (0, v_1, v_2)$ and $v_2 \neq 0$.

Definition 2.11. *Let $\mathscr{E}$ be any pure sheaf with Mukai vector v, and let $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{E}$ with Mukai vector $u = (0, u_1, u_2)$. The divisor associated to the pair $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is $D := u_2v_1 - v_2u_1$. The set of the non-zero divisors associated to all the possible pairs is denoted W_v.*

Definition 2.12. *Let $D \in W_v$. The $v-$wall associated to D is*

$$W^D := \{\alpha \in Amp(S) \mid \alpha \cdot D = 0\}.$$

As shown in [23], the set of $v-$walls is finite.

Definition 2.13. *A connected component of $Amp(S) \setminus \bigcup_{D \in W_v} W^D$ is called $v-$chamber.*

Again, if a polarization is in a $v-$chamber, then it is $v-$generic:

Lemma 2.14. *Let $v = (0, v_1, v_2)$ be a Mukai vector such that $v_2 \neq 0$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $v-$chamber. If $H \in \mathcal{C}$, then H is $v-$generic.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the one of Lemma 2.6. ☐

As in the previous case, we now show that the moduli space $M_v(S, H)$ does not change when H is a $v-$generic polarization moving inside the closure of a $v-$chamber.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $v = (0, v_1, v_2)$ be a Mukai vector such that $v_2 \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $v-$chamber, and suppose that $H, H' \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are two $v-$generic polarizations. Then a sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ of Mukai vector v is $H-$semistable if and only if it is $H'-$semistable, i. e. there is an identification $M_v(S, H) = M_v(S, H')$.*

Proof. The proof follows the same pattern as the one of Proposition 2.8. ☐

Remark 2.16. Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple where $v = 2(0, \xi, a)$, then M_v is non-empty (this was shown by Yoshioka, as signaled in [8]), and it is a $10-$dimensional irreducible, normal, projective variety whose singular locus is $\Sigma_v := M_v \setminus M_v^s$, and there is a symplectic resolution $\pi_v : \widetilde{M}_v \longrightarrow M_v$ obtained as blow-up of M_v along Σ_v with reduced structure (see Remark 2.9). Moreover, if $v = 2(0, \xi, 0)$ the tensorization with H gives an isomorphism between $M_v(S, H)$ and $M_{v'}(S, H)$, where $v' = 2(0, \xi, \xi \cdot H)$, where $\xi \cdot H > 0$ (see Theorem 3.14 of [26]): hence, we can always suppose $v = 2(0, \xi, a)$ for $a \neq 0$, and by Proposition 2.15 that H is in a $v-$chamber.

2.2 Deformations of OLS-triples

We introduce the main construction we use in the following. Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple and T a smooth, connected curve, and use the following notation: if $f : Y \longrightarrow T$ is a morphism and $\mathscr{L} \in Pic(Y)$, for every $t \in T$ we let $Y_t := f^{-1}(t)$ and $\mathscr{L}_t := \mathscr{L}_{|Y_t}$.

Definition 2.17. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple, where $v = 2(r, \xi, a)$ and $\xi = c_1(L)$. A deformation of (S, v, H) on T is a triple $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{H}, \mathscr{L})$, where:*

1. *$\mathscr{X}$ is a projective, smooth deformation of S along T, i. e. there is a smooth, projective, surjective map $f : \mathscr{X} \longrightarrow T$ such that $\mathscr{X}_t$ is a projective K3 surface (resp. an abelian surface) for every $t \in T$, and there is $0 \in T$ such that $\mathscr{X}_0 \simeq S$;*
2. *$\mathscr{H}$ is a line bundle on $\mathscr{X}$ such that $\mathscr{H}_t$ is ample for every $t \in T$ and such that $\mathscr{H}_0 \simeq H$;*
3. *$\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle on $\mathscr{X}$ such that $\mathscr{L}_0 \simeq L$.*
4. *if for every $t \in T$ we let $\xi_t := c_1(\mathscr{L}_t)$, $w_t := (r, \xi_t, a)$ and $v_t := 2w_t$, then we ask that $\mathscr{H}_t$ is v_t-generic.*

Remark 2.18. Notice that if (S, v, H) is an OLS-triple and $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H})$ is a deformation of (S, v, H) along a smooth, connected curve T, then $(\mathscr{X}_t, v_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ is an OLS-triple for every $t \in T$. Indeed, we have $v_t = 2w_t$, where w_t is primitive and $w_t^2 = 2$. Moreover, if $r = 0$, then ξ_t is effective: we have $\xi_t^2 = 2$, hence either ξ_t or $-\xi_t$ is effective; as ξ is effective, then $-\xi \cdot H < 0$, so that $-\xi_t \cdot \mathscr{H}_t < 0$, hence ξ_t is effective.

Remark 2.19. Consider an OLS-triple (S, v, H) where S is a projective K3 surface (resp. an abelian surface) and $v = 2(r, \xi, a)$, where $\xi = c_1(L)$. Let T be a smooth, connected curve. Moreover, consider a smooth, projective deformation $f : \mathscr{X} \longrightarrow T$ of S such that $\mathscr{X}_0 \simeq S$, and on $\mathscr{X}$ consider two line bundles $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{L}$ such that $\mathscr{H}_0 \simeq H$ and $\mathscr{L}_0 \simeq L$. In general, we have that $(\mathscr{X}_t, v_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ is not an OLS-triple for every $t \in T$: by Remark 2.18, this is the case if and only if $\mathscr{H}_t$ is ample and v_t-generic for every $t \in T$. Up to removing a finite number of points from T, we can always assume that this is the case. Indeed, the set of $t \in T$ such that $\mathscr{H}_t$ is not ample is finite. For the v_t-genericity, we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.20. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple where S is a projective K3 surface (resp. an abelian surface) and $v = 2(r, \xi, a)$, $\xi = c_1(L)$. Let T be a smooth, connected curve, $f : \mathscr{X} \longrightarrow T$ a smooth, projective deformation of S such that $\mathscr{X}_0 \simeq S$, $\mathscr{H} \in Pic(\mathscr{X})$ such that $\mathscr{H}_t$ is ample for every $t \in T$ and $\mathscr{H}_0 \simeq H$, and $\mathscr{L} \in Pic(\mathscr{X})$ such that $\mathscr{L}_0 \simeq L$. Then the set*

$$T' := \{t \in T \,|\, \mathscr{H}_t \textit{ is not } v_t - \textit{generic}\}$$

is finite.

Proof. Consider the relative moduli space of semistable (resp. stable) sheaves

$$\phi : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow T, \qquad (resp. \quad \phi^s : \mathscr{M}^s \longrightarrow T)$$

associated to f, where ϕ (resp. ϕ^s) is a projective (resp. quasi-projective) map, such that $\mathscr{M}_t = M_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ (resp. $\mathscr{M}_t^s = M_{v_t}^s(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$) for every $t \in T$. By Theorem 4.3.7 in [7], $\mathscr{M}^s$ is an open subset of $\mathscr{M}$. Let $\mathscr{M}^{ss} := \mathscr{M} \setminus \mathscr{M}^s$, which is a closed subset of $\mathscr{M}$. By definition, $\mathscr{M}_t^{ss}$ parameterizes strictly $\mathscr{H}_t-$polystable sheaves for every $t \in T$. There is an irreducible component Σ of $\mathscr{M}^{ss}$ such that $\Sigma \cap \mathscr{M}_t$ parameterizes strictly $\mathscr{H}_t-$polystable sheaves whose direct summands are two $\mathscr{H}_t-$stable sheaves with Mukai vector w_t. Let Ξ be the union of all the other irreducible components of $\mathscr{M}^{ss}$. By definition of $v-$genericity we have $T' = \phi(\Xi)$. As Ξ is closed in $\mathscr{M}$ and the morphism ϕ is projective, then $\phi(\Xi)$ is a closed subset of T. Moreover, notice that as $(\mathscr{X}_0, v_0, \mathscr{H}_0) = (S, v, H)$ is an OLS-triple, then $\mathscr{H}_0$ is v_0-generic. Then $0 \notin T'$, and T' is a proper closed subset of T. □

The reason why we introduce the notion of deformation of an OLS-triple, is because they allow us to study how the algebraic structure of the corresponding moduli space (and of its symplectic resolution) varies under variations of the algebraic structure of the base surface. The first result we prove is that the relative moduli space $\phi : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow T$ associated to a deformation of an OLS-triple along a smooth, connected curve T, is a flat family.

Lemma 2.21. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple, T a smooth, connected curve, and $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H})$ a deformation of (S, v, H) along T. Then $\phi : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow T$ is flat.*

Proof. Let $t \in T$, $T^0 := T \setminus \{t\}$ and $\mathscr{M}^0 := \phi^{-1}(T^0)$. The morphism ϕ is flat over t if and only if the fiber $\mathscr{M}_t$ is the limit of the fibers $\mathscr{M}_s$ as $s \to t$, by Lemma II-29 of [4]. Now, the limit is the fiber over t of the closure of the family $\mathscr{M}^0$, hence there is an inclusion of the limit in $\mathscr{M}_t$. Recall that $\mathscr{M}_t = M_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ is reduced and irreducible, hence it has to coincide with the previous limit. □

If (S, v, H) is an OLS-triple, then choosing a non-trivial deformation of it along a smooth, connected curve T we get a flat, projective deformation $\phi : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow T$ of $M_v(S, H)$. We now present the main result of this section, which is about local properties of this deformation: it is easy to see that if $t_0 \in T$ is any point and U is any open neighborhood of t_0 in T, then $\phi^{-1}(U)$ is not isomorphic to a product $\mathscr{M}_{t_0} \times U$. However, we show in the following Proposition, that this is true locally at every point in every fibre.

Proposition 2.22. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple, T a smooth, connected curve, and $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H})$ a deformation of (S, v, H) along T. Let $p \in \mathscr{M}$ and $0 := \phi(p)$. Then the germ $(\mathscr{M}, p)$ is isomorphic, as germ of complex spaces, to the product $(T, 0) \times (\mathscr{M}_0, p)$.*

Proof. We need the following definition: let $\phi : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow T$ (resp. $\phi^s : \mathscr{M}^s \longrightarrow T$) be the relative moduli space of semistable (resp. stable) sheaves associated to the deformation $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H})$ of (S, v, H) along T. Let $\Sigma := \mathscr{M} \setminus \mathscr{M}^s$, which is a closed subset of $\mathscr{M}$. Notice that

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{t \in T} \Sigma_{v_t},$$

and we use the notation $\Sigma_t := \Sigma \cap \mathscr{M} = \Sigma_{v_t}$. MOreover, for every $t \in T$ let Ω_t be the singular locus of Σ_t, and Ω be the closed subset of $\mathscr{M}$ parameterizing sheaves of the form $\mathscr{E} \oplus \mathscr{E}$, where $\mathscr{E}$ is stable. Notice that

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{t \in T} \Omega_t.$$

As $\mathscr{M}_t = M_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ for every $t \in T$, the point $p \in \mathscr{M}_0$ is one of the following: p is smooth, i. e. $p \in \mathscr{M}_0^s$; $p \in \Sigma_0 \setminus \Omega_0$, i. e. p is a singular point of type A_1; $p \in \Omega_0$. If p is smooth, the result is trivial, and there is nothing to prove. Hence, we suppose $p \in \Sigma_0$. We have then two cases:

Case 1: $p \in \Sigma_0 \setminus \Omega_0$. Consider the Zariski tangent space $T_p\mathscr{M}$ of $\mathscr{M}$ at p: we have $dim(T_p\mathscr{M}) = dim(\mathscr{M}) + 1 = 12$. Consider an analytic open neighborhood U of p in $T_p\mathscr{M}$, so we can view it as an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^{12}$. We let $x_1, ..., x_{12}$ be a coordinate system on $\mathbb{C}^{12}$: we can suppose $x_{12} = t$, a local coordinate of T at 0, and the point p corresponds to the point $(0, ..., 0)$. Moreover, $U \cap \mathscr{M}$ is an analytic subvariety of U of codimension 1, hence there is $f \in \mathscr{O}^{hol}(U)$, a holomorphic function on U, such that the equation of $U \cap \mathscr{M}$ is $f(x_1, ..., x_{11}, t) = 0$. Finally, we can choose U so that $U \cap \Omega = \emptyset$. By the functoriality properties of the relative moduli space, we have that $\Sigma \setminus \Omega$ is smooth and submersive on T, so that we can suppose that the equation of $U \cap \Sigma$ is $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$. As near p the fibre $\mathscr{M}_0$ is analytically isomorphic to a product of an A_1-singularity with a smooth polydisc (see [11]), we have

$$f(x_1, ..., x_{11}, 0) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2,$$

so that

$$f(x_1, ..., x_{11}, t) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \sum_j p_j(x_1, ..., x_{11}) t^j,$$

where p_j are polynomials on U depending only on $x_1, ..., x_{11}$. Moreover, we have $p_j \in I^2$ for every j, where I is the ideal of $\mathscr{O}^{hol}(U)$ generated by x_1, x_2 and x_3.

Now, let $p : U \longrightarrow T$ defined as $p(x_1, ..., x_{11}, t) := t$, and let $V := U \cap \Sigma$, on which we have coordinates $x_4, ..., x_{11}, t$. Finally, let

$$p' : U \longrightarrow V, \qquad p'(x_1, ..., x_{11}, t) := (x_4, ..., x_{11}, t)$$

and $q : V \longrightarrow T$ be defined as $q(x_4, ..., x_{11}, t) := t$. Notice that $q \circ p' = p$. Moreover, the fibers of p' are all singular, and the fiber over 0 has an A_1-singularity. By the deformation theory of A_1-singularities of [9], we have that there is an open neighborhood $U' \subseteq \mathscr{M}$ of the point p which is a product of an A_1-singularity by a $9-$dimensional polydisc D. As $\phi_{|U'}$ is identified with $p_{|U'}$, then the projection onto D factors ϕ. Hence ϕ is locally trivial at p, and we are done.

Case 2: $p \in \Omega_0$. The strategy is the following: first, we show that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the infinitesimal $n-$th order deformation of $\mathscr{M}_0$ induced by $\mathscr{M}$ is locally trivial at p. Once this is shown, the statement follows in this way: by Corollary 0.2 of [5] there is a maximal subspace $(T', 0) \subseteq (T, 0)$ such that $(\mathscr{M}_{T'}, p)$ is isomorphic, as germ of complex spaces, to the product $(T', 0) \times (\mathscr{M}_0, p)$ (where $\mathscr{M}_{T'} := \mathscr{M} \times_T T'$). Notice that as the infinitesimal $n-$th order deformation of $\mathscr{M}_0$ induced by $\mathscr{M}$ is locally trivial at p for every n, then T' is positive dimensional. As T is a curve, we finally get $(T', 0) = (T, 0)$, and we are done.

We are left to prove that the infinitesimal $n-$th order deformation of $\mathscr{M}_0$ induced by $\mathscr{M}$ is locally trivial at the points of Ω_0 for every n, and we proceed by induction on n. For $n = 1$, let

$$T^1 := \mathscr{E}xt^1(\Omega^1_{\mathscr{M}_0}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{M}_0}),$$

where $\Omega^1_{\mathscr{M}_0}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic $1-$forms on $\mathscr{M}_0$: then T^1 is supported on Σ_0, and the local sections of T^1 correspond to local infinitesimal first order deformations of $\mathscr{M}_0$. Moreover, by [11] we know that T^1 is pure.

We show that the infinitesimal first order deformation of $\mathscr{M}_0$ induced by $\mathscr{M}$ is locally trivial at p: consider a Stein open neighborhood U_1 of p in $\mathscr{M}_0$, and let s be the element of T^1 on U_1 induced by $\mathscr{M}$. Let $q \in U_1 \cap (\Sigma_0 \setminus \Omega_0)$: then q is a point of the previous case, hence s is locally trivial at q. This means that there is a Stein open neighborhood $V_q \subseteq U_1$ of q such that $s_{|V_q}$ is trivial. By purity of T^1, s is trivial on U_1, and we are done.

By induction, suppose that the infinitesimal $n-$th order deformation of $\mathscr{M}_0$ induced by $\mathscr{M}$ is locally trivial at p. There are two extensions of it to a local infinitesimal $(n+1)-$th order deformation at p: the trivial one, which we call s_1, and the one induced by $\mathscr{M}$, which we call s_2. By Theorem 2.11 of [21] and Lemma 2.12 of [6] there is a transitive action of T^1 on the space of small extensions, hence there is an element h of T^1 on a Stein open neighborhood U of p such that $h(s_1) = s_2$, where $h(s_1)$ is the action of h on s_1. Let $q \in U \cap (\Sigma_0 \setminus \Omega_0)$: as this is a point of the previous case, the infinitesimal $(n+1)-$th order deformation of $\mathscr{M}_0$ induced by $\mathscr{M}$ is locally trivial at q, hence there is a Stein open neighborhood $V_q \subseteq U$ of q such that $s_{2|V_q} = s_{1|V_q}$. This implies that $h_{|V_q}$ is trivial. Again, by purity of T^1 this implies that h is trivial on U, so that $s_1 = s_2$ on U, and we are done. □

The Proposition we just proved has two important consequences. The first one is that if (S_1, v_1, H_1) and (S_2, v_2, H_2) are two OLS-triples which are related by a deformation of OLS-triples along a smooth, connected curve, then $\widetilde{M}_{v_1}(S_1, H_1)$ and $\widetilde{M}_{v_2}(S_2, H_2)$ are deformation equivalent. We now look at deformation of the symplectic resolution $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$ of the moduli space $M_v(S, H)$.

Proposition 2.23. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple, T a smooth connected curve, and $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H})$ a deformation of (S, v, H) along T.*

1. *If S is a K3 surface, then $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$ is irreducible symplectic if and only if $\widetilde{M}_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ is for some (and hence for all) $t \in T$, and their deformation classes are equal.*

2. *If S is an abelian surface, Then $\widetilde{K}_v(S, H)$ is irreducible symplectic if and only if $\widetilde{K}_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ is for some (and hence for all) $t \in T$, and their deformation classes are equal.*

Proof. Let us suppose that S is K3, and define $\pi : \widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}$ to be the blow-up of $\mathscr{M}$ along Σ with reduced structure. We have a morphism

$$\psi := \phi \circ \pi : \widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \longrightarrow T,$$

which is projective (as ϕ and π are projective) and flat (by Lemma 2.21). As an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.22, we have that

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{M}_t} = (Bl_{\Sigma_{red}}\mathscr{M})_t = Bl_{\Sigma_{t,red}}\mathscr{M}_t.$$

Moreover, we have $\mathscr{M}_t = M_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ and $\Sigma_{t,red} = \Sigma_{v_t,red}$, and

$$Bl_{\Sigma_{v_t,red}} M_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t) = \widetilde{M}_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$$

for every $t \in T$. Hence $\widetilde{M}_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathscr{H}_t)$ is a smooth, symplectic, projective variety. As T is smooth and connected, the statement follows as in the proof of Corollary 6.2.12 of [7].

If S is an abelian surface, we need one step more: define $\widehat{\mathscr{X}} := Pic^0(\mathscr{X})$, with the natural map $\widehat{f} : \widehat{\mathscr{X}} \longrightarrow T$, which is again smooth. Consider

$$Z := \{(0_{\mathscr{X}_t}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_t}) \in \mathscr{X}_t \times \widehat{\mathscr{X}_t} \,|\, t \in T\} \subseteq \mathscr{X} \times_T \widehat{\mathscr{X}},$$

with the natural morphism $g : Z \longrightarrow T$, which is clearly an isomorphism. Moreover, we can define a $T-$flat morphism

$$a : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow \mathscr{X} \times_T \widehat{\mathscr{X}},$$

such that $a_{|\mathscr{M}_t} := a_{v_t}$. Notice that $\phi = g \circ a$. Now, define

$$\mathscr{K} := \mathscr{M} \times_{\mathscr{X} \times_T \widehat{\mathscr{X}}} Z.$$

Hence we have a morphism

$$\phi^0 := g \circ p_Z : \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow T,$$

where $p_Z : \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow Z$ is the natural projection. Notice that ϕ^0 is flat and projective, and that for every $t \in T$ we have $\mathscr{K}_t = K_{v_t}(\mathscr{X}_t, H_t)$: in conclusion, $\mathscr{K}$ is a projective, flat deformation of K_v. Now, let $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}} := \widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \times_{\mathscr{M}} \mathscr{K}$, which has a natural projection

$$\psi^0 := \phi^0 \circ p_{\mathscr{K}} : \widetilde{\mathscr{K}} \longrightarrow T,$$

which is flat and projective. The rest of the proof now goes as in the case of K3 surfaces. $\square$

The second consequence of Proposition 2.22 is that the family $\phi : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow T$ is topologically a product on small open subsets of T. More precisely, we have the following:

Corollary 2.24. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple, T a smooth, connected curve and $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H})$ a deformation of (S, v, H) along T. Let $\phi : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow T$ be the relative moduli space induced by $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H})$. Then for every $t \in T$ there is an open neighborhood $U \subseteq T$ of t, and a homeomorphism*

$$h : \phi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}_t \times U,$$

such that $p_U \circ h = \phi$, where $p_U : \mathscr{M}_t \times U \longrightarrow U$ is the projection.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} := \{S_1, S_2, S_3\}$ be the stratification of $\mathscr{M}$ given by $S_1 := \mathscr{M} \setminus \Sigma$, $S_2 := \Sigma \setminus \Omega$ and $S_3 := \Omega$. Let us suppose that the stratification $\mathcal{S}$ is Whitney: as every stratum S_i is submersive on T, and as the closure $\overline{S_i}$ of S_i in $\mathscr{M}$ is proper over T, the statement then follows from the Thom First Homotopy Lemma (see Theorem 3.5 of [2]).

We then need to show that the stratification $\mathcal{S}$ is Whitney, i. e. that for every $i = 1, 2, 3$, the stratum S_i is Whitney regular over S_j for every $j > i$ (see Definition 1.7 of [2]). Let $t \in T$, and recall that for every $t \in T$ we have $\mathscr{M}_t = M_{v_t}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_t = \{S_{1,t}, S_{2,t}, S_{3,t}\}$ be the stratification of M_{v_t} obtained as $S_{i,t} := S_i \cap \mathscr{M}_t$. More explicitely, we have $S_{1,t} := M_{v_t} \setminus \Sigma_t$, $S_{2,t} := \Sigma_t \setminus \Omega_t$ and $S_{3,t} := \Omega_t$. Notice that the stratification $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathscr{M}$ is Whitney if the stratification $\mathcal{S}_t$ of M_{v_t} is Whitney for every $t \in T$: indeed, by Proposition 2.22 we know that for every $p \in \mathscr{M}$ the germ $(\mathscr{M}, p)$ is isomorphic, as germ of complex spaces, to the product $(T, \phi(p)) \times (\mathscr{M}_{\phi(p)}, p)$.

In conclusion, we need to show that the stratification $\mathcal{S}_t$ is Whitney for every $t \in T$, i. e. that for every $i = 1, 2, 3$, the stratum $S_{i,t}$ is Whitney regular over each stratum $S_{j,t}$ with $j > i$. We have two cases:

Case 1: $S_{1,t}$ is Whitney regular over $S_{2,t}$. To do so, let $p \in S_{2,t} = \Sigma_t \setminus \Omega_t$: then there is an open neighborhood $U \subseteq M_{v_t}$ of p, which is a product of a type A_1-singularity by an $8-$dimensional polydisc. As the stratification of the singularities of the type A_1-singularity is Whitney, this implies the Whitney regularity of S_1 over S_2.

Case 2: $S_{1,t}$ and $S_{2,t}$ are Whitney regular over $S_{3,t}$. Let $q \in S_{3,t} = \Omega_t$: then there is open neighborhood $V \subseteq M_{v_t}$ of q which is a product of a singular $6-$dimensional variety Z with a $4-$dimensional polydisc. Let Z_{sing} be the singular locus of Z, and $(Z_{sing})_{sing}$ the singular locus of Z_{sing}. Notice that $Z_{sing} = \Sigma_t \cap V$ and $(Z_{sing})_{sing} = \Omega_t \cap V$. Hence, the stratification $\mathcal{S}_t$ induces a strtification $\mathcal{S}' := \{S'_1, S'_2, S'_3\}$ on Z, where $S'_1 := Z \setminus Z_{sing}$, $S'_2 := Z_{sing} \setminus (Z_{sing})_{sing}$ and $S'_3 := (Z_{sing})_{sing}$. To show that the stratification $\mathcal{S}_t$ on M_{v_t} is Whitney, we then just need to show that the stratification $\mathcal{S}'$ of Z is Whitney. Again, we show that for every $i = 1, 2, 3$ the stratum S'_i is Whitney regular over S'_j for every $j > i$. The fact that S'_1 is Withney regular over S'_2 follows as in Case 1. We are then left to show that S'_1 and S'_2 are Withney regular over S'_3: but notice that as Z is $6-$dimensional, we have that $(Z_{sing})_{sing}$ is $0-$dimensional, so that the stratum S'_3 is given by a point. By Lemma 1.10 of [2], we have that S'_1 and S'_2 are Whitney regular over S'_3, and we are done. ☐

2.3 Deformations and Mukai vectors of positive rank

In this section we consider Mukai vectors v of positive rank, and we show that the deformation class of $\widetilde{M}_v$ and $\widetilde{K}_v$ depends only on the rank of v. To do so, we follow closely the arguments used by O'Grady in [14]. As first step, we remark that the tensorization via a line bundle does not change the moduli spaces. Let S be an abelian or projective K3 surface.

Definition 2.25. *Let $v, v' \in \widetilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ be two Mukai vectors, $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$, $v' = (v'_0, v'_1, v'_2)$ and $v_0, v'_0 > 0$. We say that v and v' are equivalent if there is a line bundle L on S such that $v' = v \cdot ch(L)$.*

If (S, v, H) and (S, v', H) are two OLS-triples such that $v' = v \cdot ch(L)$ for some line bundle $L \in Pic(S)$, then the tensorization with L defines an isomorphism between $M_v(S, H)$ and $M_{v'}(S, H)$. This is due to the following, which is Lemma 1.1 of [23]:

Lemma 2.26. *If v is a Mukai vector of positive rank, H is in a $v-$chamber, and $L \in Pic(S)$, then the tensorization with L gives an isomorphism between $M_v(S,H)$ and $M_{v'}(S,H)$.*

Remark 2.27. This Lemma is originally stated only for stable sheaves, but the argument goes through for semistable sheaves. Moreover, we can suppose that H is $v-$generic by Proposition 2.8.

In order to give explicit deformations of an OLS-triple (S,v,H) where $v = 2(r,\xi,a)$ and $r > 0$, we use the irreducibility of the moduli space of polarized K3 or abelian surfaces. Hence, it is useful to suppose $\xi = c_1(H)$, which is always possible by the following:

Lemma 2.28. *Let (S,v,H) be an OLS-triple where $v = 2(r,\xi,a)$ is such that $r > 0$. Suppose that $\rho(S) \geq 2$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the a $v-$chamber such that $H \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Then there exists a Mukai vector $v' = 2(r,\xi',a')$ such that*

1. *v' is equivalent to v;*
2. *ξ' is a primitive ample class lying in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Moreover, we can choose v' so that $(\xi')^2 \gg 0$.

Proof. First of all, by Proposition 2.8 we can suppose $H \in \mathcal{C}$. The proof is then essentially the one of Lemma II.6 of [14]: there one requires ξ to be primitive, but Yoshioka noticed that the same argument goes through in the more general case of r and ξ prime to each other (see [24]). This last condition is always verified: write $w = (r,\xi,a)$, which is primitive and $w^2 = 2$, i. e. $\xi^2 = 2ra + 2$. Suppose that $s \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $r = sr'$ and $\xi = s\xi'$. Then $s^2(\xi')^2 = 2sar' + 2$. As S is abelian or K3, we have $(\xi')^2 = 2l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $s(sl - ar') = 1$. As $s \in \mathbb{N}$ this implies $s = 1$, and we are done . ☐

An important class of OLS-triples is given by those on elliptic K3 or abelian surfaces, as in this case we hae a priviledged class of polarizations. In order to prove that the deformation class of $\widetilde{M}_v(S,H)$ depends only on the rank of v, the strategy will be to deform the OLS-triple (S,v,H) to an OLS-triple on an elliptic K3 or abelian surface with a polarization in this priviledged class. Let then Y be an elliptic K3 or abelian surface such that $NS(Y) = \mathbb{Z}\cdot f \oplus \mathbb{Z}\cdot\sigma$, where f is the class of a fiber and σ is the class of a section. Let v be a Mukai vector on Y, and recall the following definition (see [14]):

Definition 2.29. *A polarization H on Y is called $v-$suitable if H is in the unique $v-$chamber whose closure contains f.*

We have an easy numerical criterion to guarantee that a polarization on Y is $v-$suitable:

Lemma 2.30. *Let Y be an elliptic K3 or abelian surface such that $NS(Y) = \mathbb{Z}\cdot\sigma \oplus \mathbb{Z}\cdot f$, where σ is a section and f is a fibre, and let $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ be a Mukai vector on Y such that $v_0 > 0$. Let H be a polarization such that $c_1(H) = \sigma + lf$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

1. *If S is K3, then H is $v-$suitable if $l \geq |v| + 1$.*
2. *If S is abelian, then H is $v-$suitable if $l \geq |v|$.*

Proof. If S is a K3 surface, this is Lemma I.0.3 of [14]. For the abelian case the proof is similar: H is $v-$suitable if and only if $D\cdot H$ and $D\cdot f$ have the same sign for every $D\in W_v$. Notice that $D=a\sigma+bf$ for some $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$, so that $D\cdot f=a$. Suppose $D\cdot f>0$, i. e. $a>0$. Then $D\cdot H=la+b$ and $D^2=2ab$. As $D^2\geq-|v|$, we then get $b\geq-l/2a$. Hence

$$D\cdot H=la+b\geq|v|a-(|v|/2a)>0,$$

and we are done. □

The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 2.31. *Let (S_1,v_1,H_1) and (S_2,v_2,H_2) be two OLS-triples verifying the two following conditions:*

1. *S_1 and S_2 are two projective K3 surfaces or two abelian surfaces;*
2. *if $v_i=2(r_i,\xi_i,a_i)$, then $r_1=r_2>0$.*

Then $\widetilde{M}_{v_1}(S_1,H_1)$ is deformation equivalent to $\widetilde{M}_{v_2}(S_2,H_2)$. In particular, Theorem 1.6 is true for (S_1,v_1,H_1) if and only if it is true for (S_2,v_2,H_2).

Proof. The argument we present here was first used by O'Grady in [14], then extended by Yoshioka in [22]. First of all, we can always assume $\rho(S_i)>1$ and H_i in a v_i-chamber. Indeed, consider a non-trivial smooth, projective deformation $\mathscr{X}_i$ of S_i along an open $1-$dimensional disc Δ, and let $0\in\Delta$ be such that $\mathscr{X}_{i,0}\simeq S_i$. By the Main Theorem of [17], we know that the locus of $t\in\Delta$ such that $\rho(\mathscr{X}_{i,t})>1$ is dense in the classical topology of Δ. If $\mathscr{H}_i\in Pic(\mathscr{X}_i)$ is a deformation of H_i and $\mathscr{L}_i\in Pic(\mathscr{X}_i)$ is a deformation of the line bundle $L_i\in Pic(S_i)$ such that $c_1(L_i)=\xi_i$, then the triple $(\mathscr{X}_{i,t},v_{i,t},\mathscr{H}_{i,t})$ is an OLS-triple for all but a finite number of $t\in\Delta$: hence there is $t\in\Delta$ such that $\rho(\mathscr{X}_{i,t})>1$ and $(\mathscr{X}_{i,t},v_{i,t},\mathscr{H}_{i,t})$ is an OLS-triple. Applying Proposition 2.8 we can then suppose H_i to be in a v_i-chamber.

By Lemma 2.28 we can suppose the triples to be (S_i,v_i,H_i) where $v_i=2(r,c_1(H_i),a_i)$, and if $H_i^2=2d_i$, then we suppose $d_i\gg0$. Now, let Y be a K3 (resp. abelian) surface admitting an elliptic fibration and such that

$$NS(Y)=\mathbb{Z}\cdot\sigma\oplus\mathbb{Z}\cdot f,$$

where f is the class of a fiber, and σ is the class of a section. For $i=1,2$, there is a smooth, connected curve T_i and a deformation $(\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{L}_i,\mathscr{H}_i)$ over T_i of the OLS-triple (S_i,v_i,H_i) such that there is $t\in T_i$ with the property $(\mathscr{X}_{i,t},v_{i,t},H_{i,t})=(Y,v_i',H_i')$, where

1. $c_1(H_i')=\sigma+l_if$, where $l_i\gg0$.
2. $v_i'=2(r,c_1(H_i'),a_i)$.

Let $\xi_i':=c_1(H_i')$. Notice that $(v_1')^2=(v_2')^2$ and they have the same rank: hence $|v_1'|=|v_2'|$, so that by Lemma 2.30 a polarization is $v_1'-$suitable if and only if it is $v_2'-$suitable. Again by Lemma 2.30, we have that H_i' is $v_i'-$suitable for $i=1,2$, as $l_i\gg0$. Then H_1' and H_2' lie in the same chamber $\mathcal{C}$ (which is clearly a $v_i'-$chamber for $i=1,2$). By Proposition 2.8 we then change to a common generic polarization $H\in\mathcal{C}$, which is $v_i'-$generic for $i=1,2$ by Lemma 2.6.

As $(v_1')^2 = (v_2')^2$, we have $(\xi_1')^2 - 2ra_1 = (\xi_2')^2 - 2ra_2$, and as

$$(\xi_i')^2 = (\sigma + l_i f)^2 = 2l_i - 2,$$

(in the abelian case we have $\xi_i^2 = 2l_i$), we then get the equation

$$l_1 = l_2 + r(a_1 - a_2). \tag{2}$$

Notice that v_1' and v_2' are then equivalent: indeed, we have

$$v_2' \cdot ch(\mathscr{O}_Y((a_1 - a_2)f)) = 2(r, \sigma + l_2 f, a_2) \cdot (1, (a_1 - a_2)f, 0) =$$

$$= 2(r, \sigma + l_1 f, a_1) = v_1',$$

where the second equality follows from equation (2). By Lemma 2.26, we are then done. □

Remark 2.32. We observe that in order to relate $\widetilde{M}_{v_1}(S_1, H_1)$ and $\widetilde{M}_{v_2}(S_2, H_2)$ in the previous proof, we only used deformations of the symplectic resolutions induced by deformations of OLS-triples along a smooth, connected curve, and isomorphisms between moduli spaces given by tensorization with a line bundle.

2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.6

In this section we finally prove Theorem 1.6: the crucial facts are two lemmas due to Yoshioka [24]. If S is an abelian or projective K3 surface, write Δ for the diagonal of $S \times S$, $\mathscr{I}_\Delta$ for the ideal sheaf of Δ and, if S is abelian, let $\mathscr{P}$ be the Poincaré bundle on $S \times \widehat{S}$.

Lemma 2.33. *(**Yoshioka**). Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple where $v = 2(0, \xi, a)$ and H is in a $v-$chamber. Moreover, let $\widehat{v} := 2(a, \xi, 0)$, and suppose $a \gg 0$.*

1. *If S is K3, then the Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathcal{F} : D^b(S) \longrightarrow D^b(S)$ with kernel $\mathscr{I}_\Delta$ sends any $H-$(semi)stable sheaf with Mukai vector v to an $H-$(semi)stable sheaf with Mukai vector $\widehat{v}$. In particular, it defines an isomorphism between $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$ and $\widetilde{M}_{\widehat{v}}(S, H)$.*

2. *If S is abelian, then the Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathcal{F} : D^b(S) \longrightarrow D^b(\widehat{S})$ with kernel $\mathscr{P}$ sends any $H-$(semi)stable sheaf with Mukai vector v to an $\widehat{H}-$(semi)stable sheaf with Mukai vector $\widehat{v}$. In particular, it defines an isomorphism between $\widetilde{K}_v(S, H)$ and $\widetilde{K}_{\widehat{v}}(\widehat{S}, \widehat{H})$.*

Proof. Let $w := v/2$ and $\widehat{w} := \widehat{v}/2$. By Proposition 3.14 of [24], as $a \gg 0$ the Fourier-Mukai functor of the statement sends an $H-$stable sheaf with Mukai vector v (resp. w) to an $H-$stable sheaf of Mukai vector $\widehat{v}$ (resp. $\widehat{w}$), hence it defines an isomorphism between M_v^s and $M_{\widehat{v}}^s$ (resp. between M_w^s and $M_{\widehat{w}}^s$). Notice that as H is $v-$generic, then it is even $w-$generic. As w and $\widehat{w}$ are primitive, we then have $M_w = M_w^s$ and $M_{\widehat{w}} = M_{\widehat{w}}^s$, so that the Fourier-Mukai transform of the statement defines an isomorphism between M_w and $M_{\widehat{w}}$. As $M_v = M_v^s \cup S^2 M_w$ and $M_{\widehat{v}} = M_{\widehat{v}}^s \cup S^2 M_{\widehat{w}}$, we are done. □

The following lemma is Theorem 3.18 of [24]:

Lemma 2.34. ***(Yoshioka)****. Let S be an abelian or projective K3 surface such that $NS(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot h$, where $h = c_1(H)$ is ample. Let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a := (n^2 - 1)/r \in \mathbb{Z}$, and suppose $n \gg 0$.*

1. *The Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathcal{F} : D^b(S) \longrightarrow D^b(S)$ with kernel $\mathscr{I}_\Delta$ sends $H-$(semi)stable sheaves with Mukai vector $2(r, nh, a)$ to $H-$(semi)stable sheaves with Mukai vector $2(a, nh, r)$. In particular, it defines an isomorphism between $\widetilde{M}_{2(r,nh,a)}(S, H)$ and $\widetilde{M}_{2(a,nh,r)}(S, H)$.*
2. *The Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathcal{F} : D^b(S) \longrightarrow D^b(\widehat{S})$ with kernel $\mathscr{P}$ sends $H-$(semi)stable sheaves with Mukai vector $2(r, nh, a)$ to $\widehat{H}-$(semi)stable sheaves with Mukai vector $2(a, nh, r)$. In particular, it defines an isomorphism between $\widetilde{K}_{2(r,nh,a)}(S, H)$ and $\widetilde{K}_{2(a,nh,r)}(\widehat{S}, \widehat{H})$.*

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.6:

Theorem 1.6. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple.*

1. *If S is projective K3, then $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$ is irreducible symplectic and deformation equivalent to $\widetilde{M}_{10}$.*
2. *If S is abelian, then $\widetilde{K}_v(S, H)$ is irreducible symplectic and deformation equivalent to $\widetilde{K}_6$.*

Proof. Let S be a projective K3 surface (the proof in the abelian case is analogue), and write $v = 2(r, \xi, a)$. We show that $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$ is deformation equivalent to $\widetilde{M}_{2(0,h,0)}(X, H)$, where X is a surface such that $NS(X) = \mathbb{Z}{\cdot}h$, $h = c_1(H)$ is ample and $H^2 = 2$. The equivalence is obtained using deformations of the simplectic resolutions induced by deformations along smooth, connected curves of the corresponding OLS-triple, and isomorphism between moduli spaces. As a particular case is $\widetilde{M}_{10}$, we will be done.

Step 1: suppose that $NS(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot h$ where $h = c_1(H)$ is ample and $H^2 = 2$, and suppose $v = 2(0, h, a)$ where $a = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H) \simeq \widetilde{M}_{2(0,h,0)}(S, H)$: indeed, it is immediate to see that $v = 2(0, h, 0) \cdot ch(\mathscr{O}_S(kH))$. As tensoring with a multiple of H does not change $H-$(semi)stability, we get an isomorphism

$$M_{2(0,h,0)}(S, H) \longrightarrow M_{2(0,h,a)}(S, H), \qquad E \mapsto E \otimes \mathscr{O}_S(kH),$$

and we are done.

Step 2: suppose that (S, v, H) is an OLS-triple such that $r > 0$. By Proposition 2.31 we know that $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$ is deformation equivalent to $\widetilde{M}_{2(r,nh,a)}(X, H)$, where $a = (n^2 - 1)/r$, for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose $n \gg 0$ such that the corresponding a is even. As $n \gg 0$, point 1 of Lemma 2.34 gives an isomorphism between $M_{2(r,nh,a)}(X, H)$ and $M_{2(a,nh,r)}(X, H)$, which is deformation equivalent to $M_{2(a,h,0)}(X, H)$ by Proposition 2.31. As $a \gg 0$, by point 1 of Lemma 2.33 we have an isomorphism between $M_{2(a,h,0)}(X, H)$ and $M_{2(0,h,a)}(X, H)$. As a is even and $NS(X) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot h$ where $h = c_1(H)$ is ample and $H^2 = 2$, we have $M_{2(0,h,a)}(X, H) \simeq M_{2(0,h,0)}(X, H)$ by Step 1, and we are done.

Step 3: suppose that (S, v, H) is any OLS-triple such that $r = 0$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v' := v \cdot ch(\mathscr{O}_S(dH))$. As tensoring with a multiple of H does not change $H-$(semi)stability, we have an isomorphism

$$M_v(S, H) \longrightarrow M_{v'}(S, H), \qquad E \mapsto E \otimes \mathscr{O}_S(dH).$$

Notice that

$$v' = 2(0, \xi, a) \cdot (1, dH, d^2H^2/2) = 2(0, \xi, a + dH \cdot \xi).$$

As H is ample and ξ is effective, we have $\xi \cdot H > 0$, so that choosing $d \gg 0$ we get $a + d\xi \cdot H \gg 0$. We can then suppose $v = 2(0, \xi, a)$ where $a \gg 0$, hence we can suppose even that H is in a $v-$chamber. By point 1 of Lemma 2.33 we have then an isomorphism between $M_v(S, H)$ and $M_{\widehat{v}}(S, H)$, where $\widehat{v} := 2(a, \xi, 0)$. We are now in the situation of Step 2, hence we are done. ☐

3 The second integral cohomology of the moduli spaces

Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple. In this section we define a morphism

$$\lambda_v : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z}).$$

For primitive Mukai vectors v with $v^2 = 0$, this was defined (using semi-universal families) first by Mukai [13], who showed that it gives a Hodge isometry between $v^\perp/\mathbb{Z} \cdot v$ and $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ (in this case M_v is a K3 surface). For v primitive and $v^2 \geq 2$, this morphism was constructed by Mukai [13], O'Grady [14] and Yoshioka [22], who showed that λ_v gives a Hodge isometry between $v^\perp$ and $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ (the latter being a lattice with respect to the Beauville form, as it is an irreducible symplectic manifold).

In the present section we define λ_v for any OLS-triple (S, v, H): as in the case of primitive Mukai vectors, using a semi-universal family on $S \times M_v^s$ one defines a morphism λ_v^s which, a priori, takes values only in $H^2(M_v^s, \mathbb{Q})$. As (S, v, H) is an OLS-triple, M_v^s is an open subset which is strictly contained in M_v, hence the problem is to extend λ_v^s to a morphism λ_v which takes values in $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$. To show that such an extension exists, we show first, using the Le Potier morphism, that if $\alpha \in (v^\perp)^{1,1}$, then $\lambda_v^s(\alpha)$ extends to a unique class $\lambda_v(\alpha) \in H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ (which is, moreover, the first Chern class of a line bundle on M_v). Using a deformation argument we extend this result to every $\alpha \in v^\perp$. The details of the construction are explained in section 3.2.

The main result of the section is to show that the morphism λ_v is a Hodge isometry between $v^\perp$ and $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ (or $H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z})$ if S is abelian). Before doing this, we need to show that on $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ one has a pure weight-two Hodge structure and a lattice structure: as shown in section 3.1, these are induced by the respective structures on $H^2(\widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Z})$ (the lattice structure given by the Beauville form, as $\widetilde{M}_v$ is now known to be an irreducible symplectic manifold), as a consequence of the fact that the singularities of M_v are rational. In section 3.3 we show that λ_v is a Hodge isometry by following the steps of the proof of Theorem 1.6: namely, to show that λ_v is a Hodge isometry is equivalent to show the same property for the O'Grady examples; in these cases, this follows immediately by [18].

3.1 Hodge and lattice structures

In this section we show that $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(K_v,\mathbb{Z})$ admit a pure weight-two Hodge structure and a lattive structure for any OLS-triple (S,v,H). As a first step we show that they are free $\mathbb{Z}-$modules.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a normal, irreducible projective variety with rational singularities, and let $f:\widetilde{X}\longrightarrow X$ be a resolution of the singularities. The morphism $f^*:H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z})$ is injective.*

Proof. As X is a normal, irreducible projective variety having rational singularities and $f:\widetilde{X}\longrightarrow X$ is a resolution of singularities, then $R^if_*\mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{X}}=0$ for every $i>0$. Moreover, by the Zariski Main Theorem the natural morphism $\mathscr{O}_X\longrightarrow f_*\mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$ is an isomorphism, and $f_*\mathscr{O}^*_{\widetilde{X}}\simeq\mathscr{O}^*_X$. Applying the functor Rf_* to the exponential sequence of $\widetilde{X}$ we then find $R^1f_*\mathbb{Z}=0$. Using the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q}:=H^p(X,R^qf_*\mathbb{Z})\Longrightarrow H^{p+q}:=H^{p+q}(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}),$$

we have $E_2^{p,1}=0$ for every $p\in\mathbb{Z}$, so that the map $E_2^{2,0}\longrightarrow H^2$ is injective. But this is the map $f^*:H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z})$, and we are done. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let (S,v,H) be an OLS-triple.*

1. *If S is K3, then $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ is free.*
2. *If S is abelian, then $H^2(K_v,\mathbb{Z})$ is free.*

Proof. If S is a K3 surface, then M_v has rational singularities: indeed, it admits a symplectic resolution, hence the singularities are canonical, so it has rational singularities by Elkik [3]. By Lemma 3.1,

$$\pi_v^*:H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{M}_v,\mathbb{Z})$$

is injective. Finally, by Theorem 1.6 we know that $\widetilde{M}_v$ is an irreducible symplectic manifold, hence it is simply connected. This implies that $H^2(\widetilde{M}_v,\mathbb{Z})$ is free, so $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ is free. The case of abelian surfaces is analogue. □

Remark 3.3. By Lemma 3.1 and the proof of Corollary 3.2, the pull-back morphism $\pi_v^*:H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{M}_v,\mathbb{Z})$ is an injection of mixed Hodge structures. By strict compatibility of the weight filtrations, the mixed Hodge structure on $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ is then pure of weight two. Explicitely, the pure weight-two Hodge structure on $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ is defined as follows:

Definition 3.4. *Let (S,v,H) be an OLS-triple where S is a K3 surface. The pure weight-two Hodge structure on $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ is defined as follows:*

$$H^{2,0}(M_v):=\pi_v^*(H^2(M_v,\mathbb{C}))\cap H^{2,0}(\widetilde{M}_v),$$

$$H^{1,1}(M_v):=\pi_v^*(H^2(M_v,\mathbb{C}))\cap H^{1,1}(\widetilde{M}_v),$$

$$H^{0,2}(M_v):=\pi_v^*(H^2(M_v,\mathbb{C}))\cap H^{0,2}(\widetilde{M}_v).$$

Similarily, we define the pure weight-two Hodge structure on $H^2(K_v,\mathbb{Z})$.

We now deal with the lattice structure. Recall that if (S, v, H) is an OLS-triple, then $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$ and $\widetilde{K}_v(S, H)$ are irreducible symplectic manifolds. This implies that on $H^2(\widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(\widetilde{K}_v, \mathbb{Z})$ we have a lattice structure with respect to the Beauville form. As π_v^* is injective, this induces a lattice structure on $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z})$ which is compatible with the Hodge structure we just defined. More explicitely, we have the following:

Definition 3.5. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple where S is a K3 surface. We define a lattice structure on $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ in the following way: define a quadratic form q_v on $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ by letting, for every $\alpha, \beta \in H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$,*

$$q_v(\alpha, \beta) := \widetilde{q}_v(\pi_v^*\alpha, \pi_v^*\beta),$$

where $\widetilde{q}_v$ is the Beauville form of $\widetilde{M}_v(S, H)$. Similarily, we define a lattice structure on $H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z})$ for every OLS-triple (S, v, H) where S is an abelian surface.

3.2 Mukai-Donaldson-Le Potier morphism

In this section we define a morphism

$$\lambda_v : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$$

for every OLS-triple (S, v, H). The strategy is the following: consider a semi-universal family $\mathscr{F}$ on $S \times M_v^s$ of similitude ρ. Then define

$$\lambda_{v,\mathscr{F}}^s : H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(M_v^s, \mathbb{Q}), \quad \lambda_{v,\mathscr{F}}^s(\alpha) := \frac{1}{\rho}[p_{M*}(p_S^*(\alpha^{\vee} \cdot \sqrt{tdS}) \cdot ch(\mathscr{F}))]_1.$$

Here, if $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, we define $\alpha^{\vee} := (\alpha_0, -\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, and p_M and p_S are the two projections of $S \times M_v^s$ to M_v^s and S respectively. If S is abelian, composing with the inclusion morphism $j_v^s : K_v^s \longrightarrow M_v^s$ we then get a morphism $\nu_{v,\mathscr{F}}^s := j_v^* \circ \lambda_{v,\mathscr{F}}^s$.

Now, if $\alpha \in v^{\perp}$ and $\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}'$ are two semi-universal families, then $\lambda_{v,\mathscr{F}}^s(\alpha) = \lambda_{v,\mathscr{F}'}^s(\alpha)$ (resp. $\nu_{v,\mathscr{F}}^s(\alpha) = \nu_{v,\mathscr{F}'}^s(\alpha)$). We have then a map

$$\lambda_v^s : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(M_v^s, \mathbb{Q}), \qquad (\text{resp. } \nu_v^s : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(K_v^s, \mathbb{Z}))$$

which does not depend on the chosen semi-universal family. The problem is to extend λ_v^s to a morphism

$$\lambda_v : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z}),$$

i. e. such that if $i_v : M_v^s \longrightarrow M_v$ is the inclusion, we have $\lambda_v^s = i_v^* \circ \lambda_v$. If S is abelian, and $j_v : K_v \longrightarrow M_v$ is the inclusion, we then get a morphism

$$\nu_v := j_v^* \circ \lambda_v : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z}).$$

In order to do this, we need to study the relation between $H^2(M_v)$ and $H^2(M_v^s)$. We have the following:

Lemma 3.6. *Let (S,v,H) be an OLS-triple, and let $i_v : M_v^s \longrightarrow M_v$ (resp. $i_v : K_v^s \longrightarrow K_v$) be the inclusion. Then*

$$i_v^* : H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(M_v^s,\mathbb{Z})$$

(resp. $i_v^* : H^2(K_v^s,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(K_v,\mathbb{Z})$) is injective.

Proof. We have a commutative diagram, every row of which is exact:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^2(M_v,M_v^s) \arrow[r, "c"] \arrow[d, "f"] & H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "i_v^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_v^*"] & H^2(M_v^s,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[d, "\pi_v^*"] \\
H^2(\widetilde{M}_v,\pi_v^{-1}(M_v^s)) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{c}"] & H^2(\widetilde{M}_v,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{i}_v^*"] & H^2(\pi_v^{-1}(M_v^s),\mathbb{Z})
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

where $\widetilde{i}_v$ is the restriction morphism from $\widetilde{M}_v$ to $\pi_v^{-1}(M_v^s)$. As $\widetilde{M}_v \setminus M_v^s \simeq \widetilde{\Sigma}_v$, which is irreducible, we have $H^2(\widetilde{M}_v,\pi_v^{-1}(M_v^s)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and $\widetilde{c}(1) = c_1(\widetilde{\Sigma}_v)$. Let $\alpha \in H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $i_v^*(\alpha) = 0$, so that $\widetilde{i}_v^* \circ \pi_v^*(\alpha) = 0$. As the second row of the diagram (3) is exact, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\pi_v^*(\alpha) = \widetilde{c}(n) = nc_1(\widetilde{\Sigma}_v)$. Now, we introduce the following notation: let $\Sigma_v^0 \subseteq \Sigma_v$ be the smooth locus of Σ_v. Following [15] we know that $\pi_v : \pi_v^{-1}(\Sigma_v^0) \longrightarrow \Sigma_v^0$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1-$bundle, whose generic fiber is then a rational curve δ. As δ is contracted by π_v, we have $\pi_v^*(\alpha) \cdot \delta = 0$. On the other hand, by adjunction the normal bundle to $\widetilde{\Sigma}_v$ is the canonical bundle of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_v$, hence it has degree -2 on δ. In conclusion, we have

$$0 = \pi_v^*(\alpha) \cdot \delta = nc_1(\widetilde{\Sigma}_v) \cdot \delta = -2n,$$

so that $n = 0$. Hence $\pi_v^*(\alpha) = 0$, but as π_v^* is injective by Lemma 3.1, we then have $\alpha = 0$, so that i_v^* is injective, and we are done for the K3 surface case. The proof of the abelian case is similar. ☐

By Lemma 3.6, if $\alpha \in v^\perp$ and $\mu_1(\alpha), \mu_2(\alpha) \in H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ are such that $i_v^*(\mu_1(\alpha)) = i_v^*(\mu_2(\alpha))$, then by Lemma 3.6 we have that $\mu_1(\alpha) = \mu_2(\alpha)$. Hence, if there is an extension of $\lambda_v^s(\alpha)$ to an element of $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$, then this extension is unique, and we call it $\lambda_v(\alpha)$. In conclusion, the problem is only to find an extension.

In order to do so, we recall a construction due to Le Potier. Let $K_{hol}(S)$ be the holomorphic $K-$theory of S, and let

$$vect^\vee : K_{hol}(S) \longrightarrow H^{2*}(S,\mathbb{Z}), \qquad vect^\vee([E]) := (v(E))^\vee,$$

where $[E]$ is the class in $K_{hol}(S)$ of a sheaf E on S. Notice that $vect^\vee$ gives an isomorphism between $K_{hol}(S)$ and $\widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S) \cap H^{2*}(S,\mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$(.,.) : K_{hol}(S) \times K_{hol}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \qquad ([E],[F]) := \chi(E \otimes F),$$

and it is easy to see that $([E],[F]) = -(v(E),v(F))$ for every sheaves E,F on S. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be any sheaf parameterized by $M_v(S,H)$, $e_v := [\mathscr{E}]$ and $e_v^\perp \subseteq K_{hol}(S)$ the orthogonal of e_v with respect to $(.,.)$. Finally, let Q_v be a Quot-scheme such that $M_v = Q_v/GL(N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $R_v \subseteq Q_v$ be the open subset of Q_v parameterizing $H-$semistable quotients. Let q_R and q_S be the projections of $S \times R_v$ onto R_v ad S respectively, and let $\mathscr{F}$ be a universal family on $S \times R_v$. Then define

$$L_{v,\mathscr{F}}^R : K_{hol}(S) \longrightarrow Pic(R_v), \quad L_{v,\mathscr{F}}^R([E]) := det(p_{R!}(p_S^*[E] \cdot [\mathscr{F}])).$$

If $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}'$ are two universal families on $S \times R_v$, then $L^R_{v,\mathscr{F}}([E]) = L^R_{v,\mathscr{F}'}([E])$ for every $[E] \in e_v^\perp$ (see Lemma 1.2 of [10]), so we get a morphism

$$L_v^R : e_v^\perp \longrightarrow Pic(R_v).$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let (S, v, H) be any OLS-triple. Then for every $[E] \in e_v^\perp$ the line bundle $L_v^R([E])$ descends to a line bundle $L_v([E]) \in Pic(M_v)$.*

Proof. The line bundle $L_v^R([E])$ has a natural $GL(N)-$linearization inherited from the one we have on $\mathscr{F}$. Let $[P] \in R_v$ be a point with closed $GL(N)-$orbit corresponding to a sheaf $F \in M_v$. Let $\pi : R_v \longrightarrow M_v$ be the quotient morphism, so that $\pi([P]) = F$. We need to show that the action of the stabilizer $Stab([P])$ is trivial on the fiber $L_v^R([E])_{[P]}$. We know that this is the case if F is $H-$stable by [10], hence we suppose $F = (F_1 \otimes V_1) \oplus (F_2 \otimes V_2)$, where F_1, F_2 are $H-$stable and V_1, V_2 are vector spaces. We know that

$$Stab([P]) \simeq Aut(F) \simeq GL(V_1) \times GL(V_2).$$

Moreover, we have

$$L_v^R([E])_{[P]} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^{2} \left(det(H^\bullet(F_i \otimes E))^{dim(V_i)} \otimes (det(V_i))^{\chi(F_i \otimes E)} \right),$$

and the action of an element $(M_1, M_2) \in Stab([P])$ is simply the multiplication by $det(M_1)^{\chi(F_1 \otimes E)} det(M_2)^{\chi(F_2 \otimes E)}$. As the polarization H is $v-$generic, then $v(F_1) = v(F_2) = v/2$: hence, as $[E] \in e_v^\perp$, we get $\chi(F_1 \otimes E) = \chi(F_2 \otimes E) = 0$. In conclusion, the action of any element of the stabilizer is trivial, so that $L_v^R([E])$ descends to a line bundle $L_v([E]) \in Pic(M_v)$. □

Remark 3.8. We observe that the argument of the previous lemma works for every Mukai vector v and every $v-$generic polarization.

We have, in conclusion, a morphism $L_v : e_v^\perp \longrightarrow Pic(M_v)$. The main result of the section is the following:

Proposition 3.9. *Let (S, v, H) be any OLS-triple. Then there is a morphism*

$$\lambda_v : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$$

such that $i_v^* \circ \lambda_v = \lambda_v^s$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7 we have a morphism $L_v : e_v^\perp \longrightarrow Pic(M_v)$. An application of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch Theorem shows that if $\alpha \in (v^\perp)^{1,1}$ and $[E] \in e_v^\perp$ is the unique element such that $vect^\vee([E]) = \alpha$, then

$$\lambda_v^s(\alpha) = i_v^*(c_1(L_v([E])))$$

(for a similar computation, see [18]). Hence, we define $\lambda_v(\alpha) := c_1(L_v([E]))$, so that we finally get a morphism

$$\lambda_v : (v^\perp)^{1,1} \longrightarrow H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z}).$$

It remains to show that we can define λ_v on the whole $v^\perp$. To do so, we use a deformation argument. Let

$$\Omega := \{\omega \in \mathbb{P}(H^2(S,\mathbb{C})) \,|\, \omega \cup \omega = 0,\ \omega \cup \overline{\omega} > 0\}$$

be the open subset of a quadric in $\mathbb{P}(H^2(S,\mathbb{C}))$ which parameterizes periods of K3 surfaces. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the Kuranishi family of for S, and let $p : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \Omega$ be the period map. Let $t_0 := p(S) \in \Omega$, and let C be a smooth, connected curve in Ω passing through t_0. Let $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H})$ be a deformation of (S, v, H) along C: for every $t \in C$, we have that $t = p(\mathscr{X}_t)$ is the period of $\mathscr{X}_t$, and notice that $v_t \in \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(\mathscr{X}_t)$. Let $f : \mathscr{X} \longrightarrow C$ and let $\phi : \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow C$ be the relative moduli space of semistable sheaves with Mukai vector v. By Corollary 2.24 there is an analytic open neighborhood U of $t_0 \in C$ such that $f^{-1}(U)$ is homeomorphic to the product $S \times U$ over U, and $\phi^{-1}(U)$ is homeomorphic to the product $M_v \times U$ over U. Up to shrinking U, we can even suppose that the local systems $Rf_*\mathbb{Z}$, $R^2f{*}\mathbb{Z}$, $R^2\phi_*\mathbb{Z}$ and $R^2\phi^s_*\mathbb{Z}$ are constant (hence even those with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ are constant). Notice that this means that we can identify $H^{2*}(\mathscr{X}_t,\mathbb{Z})$ with $H^{2*}(S,\mathbb{Z})$ (as lattices), $H^2(\mathscr{X}_t,\mathbb{C})$ with $H^2(S,\mathbb{C})$ (as lattices), $H^2(M_{v_t},\mathbb{Z})$ with $H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(M^s_{v_t},\mathbb{Q})$ with $H^2(M^s_v,\mathbb{Q})$. As v is constant over U, we can then consider $\mathcal{V} \subseteq Rf_*\mathbb{Z}$ to be a local system such that for every $t \in T$ we have $\mathcal{V}_t = v_t^\perp$. As we have relative semi-universal families, then we define a morphism

$$\lambda : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow R^2\phi^s_*\mathbb{Q},$$

such that for every $t \in U$ we have $\lambda_t = \lambda_{v_t}$. Notice that we just need to show that there is a system of generators $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{23} \in v^\perp$ such that for every $i = 1, ..., 23$ there is $t_i \in U$ such that $\alpha_i \in (v_{t_i}^\perp)^{1,1}$. Indeed, by Lemma 3.7 we have that $\lambda^s_{v_{t_i}}(\alpha_i)$ extends to a unique element $\lambda_{v_{t_i}}(\alpha_i) \in H^2(M_{v_{t_i}},\mathbb{Z})$. Hence even $\lambda^s_v(\alpha_i)$ extends to a unique $\lambda_v(\alpha_i) \in H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z})$. Now, let $\alpha \in v^\perp$: then there are $\mu_1, ..., \mu_{23}$ such that

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{23} \mu_i \alpha_i.$$

But this implies that $\lambda^s_v(\alpha)$ extends to the element

$$\lambda_v(\alpha) := \sum_{i=1}^{23} \mu_i \lambda_v(\alpha_i) \in H^2(M_v,\mathbb{Z}),$$

and we are done.

We then prove that there is a system of generators $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{23} \in v^\perp$ such that for every $i = 1, ..., 23$ there is $t_i \in U$ such that $\alpha_i \in (v_{t_i}^\perp)^{1,1}$. To do so, define $V := v^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}$, which is a 23−dimensional complex vector space, and let $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(V)$. Notice that for every $t \in U$ we have that t is the period of $\mathscr{X}_t$, hence it is a $(2,0)$−class on $\mathscr{X}_t$: as $v_t \in \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(\mathscr{X}_t)$, then $t \in v_t^\perp$, so that in conclusion we have $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. Consider the incidence variety $I \subseteq U \times \mathbb{P}$, i. e.

$$I := \{(t, [w]) \in U \times \mathbb{P} \,|\, (t, w) = 0\},$$

together with the two projections g and h to U and $\mathbb{P}$ respectively. Notice that if $t \in U$, then t is the period of $\mathscr{X}_t$, so that

$$g^{-1}(t) \simeq \mathbb{P}(v_t^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C} \cap (\widetilde{H}^{2,0}(\mathscr{X}_t) \oplus \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(\mathscr{X}_t))) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(v_t^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{P}.$$

Hence this implies that I is a smooth variety, and $dim(I) = dim(\mathbb{P})$.

Now, let us suppose that there is a point $w \in V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S) \cap H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{R})$ such that $[w] \in im(h)$ and it admits an open analytic neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ which is contained in $im(h)$. Then then implies that there are $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{23} \in v^{\perp}$ which form a system of generators, and such that $[\alpha_i] \in \mathcal{U}$ for every $i = 1, ..., 23$. Then $[\alpha_i] \in im(h)$, which implies that for every $i = 1, ..., 23$ there is $t_i \in U$ such that $(t_i, [\alpha_i]) \in I$, i. e. α_i is orthogonal to t_i with respect to the Mukai pairing on $\widetilde{H}(\mathscr{X}_{t_i})$. As t_i is the period of $\mathscr{X}_{t_i}$, this means that $\alpha_i \in \widetilde{H}^{2,0}(\mathscr{X}_{t_i}) \oplus \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(\mathscr{X}_{t_i})$. Finally, recall that $\alpha_i \in v^{\perp}$, so that $\alpha_i \in v_{t_i}^{\perp}$, hence $\alpha_i = \overline{\alpha_i}$. But this implies that $\alpha_i \in \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(\mathscr{X}_{t_i})$. In conclusion, we have $\alpha_i \in (v_{t_i}^{\perp})^{1,1}$.

In conclusion, we just need to prove that there is $w \in V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S) \cap H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{R})$ such that $[w] \in im(h)$ and which admits an open analytic neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ contained in $im(h)$. This is an immediate consequence of the following:

Lemma 3.10. *There is* $w \in V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S) \cap H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{R})$ *such that the map*

$$dh_{(t_0,[w])} : T_{(t_0,[w])}I \longrightarrow T_{[w]}\mathbb{P}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let T be the tangent line at U in t_0. As $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, then there is a 2−dimensional linear subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $\mathbb{P}(W) = T$. Notice that as t_0 is the period of S, then $T \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V \cap (\widetilde{H}^{2,0}(S) \oplus \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S)))$, so that in conclusion $W \subseteq V \cap (\widetilde{H}^{2,0}(S) \oplus \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S))$. Let $W^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal to W with respect to the Mukai pairing on V. As $dim(W) = 2$, then $dim(W^{\perp}) = 21$. If for every $w \in V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S)$ we have that $w \in W^{\perp}$, then $W^{\perp} = V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S)$ (as $dim(V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S)) = 21$). But $(V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S))^{\perp} = V \cap (\widetilde{H}^{2,0}(S) \oplus \widetilde{H}^{0,2}(S))$, hence $W = V \cap (\widetilde{H}^{2,0}(S) \oplus \widetilde{H}^{0,2}(S))$, which is not possible. In conclusion, there is a $w \in V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S)$ such that $w \notin W^{\perp}$. Moreover, we can suppose that $w \in H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{R})$: indeed, as $H^{1,1}(S)$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$, then if $V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S) \cap H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq W^{\perp}$, then we have $V \cap \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S) \subseteq W^{\perp}$, which is not possible as we have just seen.

Consider $[w] \in \mathbb{P}$, and let $M \subseteq V$ be the line such that $\mathbb{P}(M) = [w]$, so that M is not contained in $W^{\perp}$. Moreover, let $L \subseteq V$ be the line such that $\mathbb{P}(L) = t_0$. Recall that

$$T_{[w]}\mathbb{P} \simeq Hom(M, V/M),$$

$$T_{(t_0,[w])}(U \times \mathbb{P}) \simeq Hom(L, W/L) \times Hom(M, V/M).$$

By definition of I, we then have

$$T_{(t_0,[w])}I = \{(\phi, \psi) \in T_{(t_0,[w])}(U \times \mathbb{P}) \mid (\phi(l), m) - (l, \psi(m)) = 0\},$$

where the equation is true for every $l \in L$ and every $m \in M$. Moreover, we have

$$dh_{(t_0,[w])} : T_{(t_0,[w])}I \longrightarrow T_{[w]}\mathbb{P}, \qquad dh_{(t_0,[w])}(\phi, \psi) = \psi.$$

As I is smooth and $dim(I) = dim(\mathbb{P})$, in order to show that $dh_{(t_0,[w])}$ is an isomorphism we just need to show that it is surjective. Consider then $\psi \in Hom(M, V/M)$: as M is not contained in $W^{\perp}$, for every $l \in L$ there is an element $\phi(l) \in W$ such that

$$(\psi(m), l) = -(m, \phi(l))$$

for every $m \in M$. But this defines an element $\phi \in Hom(L, W/L)$ such that $(\phi, \psi) \in T_{(t_0,[w])}I$ and $dh_{(t_0,[w])}(\phi, \psi) = \psi$, and we are done. $\square$

$\square$

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.7

The aim of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 1.7. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple.*

1. *If S is K3, then $\lambda_v : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ is a Hodge isometry.*
2. *If S is abelian, then $\nu_v : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z})$ is a Hodge isometry.*

Proof. Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple. We need to show the three following properties:

1. λ_v (resp. ν_v) is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}-$modules;
2. λ_v (resp. ν_v) is an isometry;
3. λ_v (resp. ν_v) is a Hodge morphism.

We introduce the following notations:

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_v := \pi_v^* \circ \lambda_v : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Z}), \qquad \widetilde{\nu}_v := \pi_v^* \circ \nu_v : v^{\perp} \longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{K}_v, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Step 1. If S is an abelian or projective K3 surface such that $NS(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot h$, where $h = c_1(H)$ is ample and $h^2 = 2$, and $v = (2, 0, -2)$, then λ_v and ν_v are Hodge isometries: this is proved in [18].

Step 2. Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple. We show that λ_v is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}-$modules. Following the proof of Theorem 1.6, we reduce to the case of Step 1: the only transformations we use are deformations of the moduli spaces induced by deformations of the corresponding OLS-triple along a smooth, connected curve, and isomorphisms between moduli spaces induced by some Fourier-Mukai transforms. Deforming an OLS-triple along a smooth, connected curve does not change the $\mathbb{Z}-$module structures of $v^{\perp}$ and of $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$; for the isomorphism induced by the Fourier-Mukai tranform we have the following:

Lemma 3.11. *Let (S, v, H) be an OLS-triple.*

1. *If S is K3, let $\mathscr{P} \in D^b(S \times S)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathscr{P}} : D^b(S) \longrightarrow D^b(S)$ the Fourier-Mukai transform with kernel $\mathscr{P}$. Moreover, let $\phi_{\mathscr{P}}$ be the morphism induced by $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathscr{P}}$ in cohomology, and let $v' := \phi_{\mathscr{P}}(v)$. If $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathscr{P}}$ is an equivalence and it induces an isomorphism $f_{\mathscr{P}} : M_{v'}(S, H) \longrightarrow M_v(S, H)$, then λ_v is an isomorphism if and only if $\lambda_{v'}$ is an isomorphism.*
2. *If S is abelian, let $\mathscr{P} \in D^b(S \times \widehat{S})$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathscr{P}} : D^b(S) \longrightarrow D^b(\widehat{S})$ the Fourier-Mukai transform with kernel $\mathscr{P}$. Moreover, let $\phi_{\mathscr{P}}$ be the morphism induced by $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathscr{P}}$ in cohomology, and let $v' := \phi_{\mathscr{P}}(v)$. If $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathscr{P}}$ is an equivalence and it induces an isomorphism $f_{\mathscr{P}} : K_{v'}(\widehat{S}, \widehat{H}) \longrightarrow K_v(S, H)$, then ν_v is an isomorphism if and only if $\nu_{v'}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We show the first point, as the second is similar. We show that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} v^{\perp} \arrow[r, "\phi_{\mathscr{P}}"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_v"'] & (v')^{\perp} \arrow[d, "\lambda_v"] \\ H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "f^*_{\mathscr{P}}"] & H^2(M_{v'}, \mathbb{Z}) \end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

is commutative. By the construction of λ_v and $\lambda_{v'}$, this is true if the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} v^{\perp} \arrow[r, "\phi_{\mathscr{P}}"] \arrow[d, "\lambda^s_v"'] & (v')^{\perp} \arrow[d, "\lambda^s_v"] \\ H^2(M^s_v, \mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, "f^*_{\mathscr{P}}"] & H^2(M^s_{v'}, \mathbb{Q}) \end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

is commutative, and this is shown to be true by standard computations (see for example Proposition 2.4 of [23]). As $\phi_{\mathscr{P}}$ and $f^*_{\mathscr{P}}$ are isomorphisms, then λ_v is an isomorphism if and only if $\lambda_{v'}$ is, and we are done. □

In conclusion, we reduce to the case of Step 1, so that λ_v is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}-$modules for every OLS-triple (S, v, H).

Step 3. We prove now that λ_v is an isometry between $v^{\perp}$ (which has a lattice structure given by the Mukai pairing) and $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ (which has lattice structure as seen in section 3.1). Again, we reduce to the case of Step 1 following the proof of Theorem 1.6: as in the previous step, the only transformations we use are deformations of the moduli spaces induced by deformations of the corresponding OLS-triple along a smooth, connected curve, and isomorphisms between moduli spaces induced by some Fourier-Mukai transforms. As the lattice structures of $v^{\perp}$ and of $H^2(M_v, \mathbb{Z})$ are locally constant along a smooth, connected curve, we just need to analyze the isomorphism induced by Fourier-Mukai transforms. We have the following:

Lemma 3.12. *Let (S_1, v_1, H_1) and (S_2, v_2, H_2) be two OLS-triples.*

1. *If S_1 and S_2 are K3 and there is an isomorphism $f : M_{v_1} \longrightarrow M_{v_2}$, then the morphism $f^* : H^2(M_{v_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(M_{v_1}, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isometry.*
2. *If S_1 and S_2 are abelian and there is an isomorphism $f : K_{v_1} \longrightarrow K_{v_2}$, then the morphism $f^* : H^2(K_{v_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(K_{v_1}, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isometry.*

Proof. We prove the first point, as the second is similar. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^2(M_{v_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "f^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi^*_{v_2}"'] & H^2(M_{v_1}, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[d, "\pi^*_{v_1}"] \\ H^2(\widetilde{M}_{v_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{f}^*"] & H^2(\widetilde{M}_{v_1}, \mathbb{Z}). \end{tikzcd}$$

By hypothesis, we have that f is an isomorphism. Moreover, $\pi^*_{v_1}$ and $\pi^*_{v_2}$ are morphisms of lattices, and $\widetilde{f}^*$ is an isometry by [14]. Hence f^* is an isometry, and we are done. □

In conclusion, we reduce to the case of Step 1, so that λ_v is an isometry for every OLS-triple (S, v, H).

Step 4. We show that λ_v is a Hodge morphism. Suppose in the following that S is a K3 surface (the proof for S abelian is analogue). To show that λ_v is a Hodge morphism is equivalent to show that $\widetilde{\lambda}_v$ is a Hodge morphism. Notice that as λ_v is an isometry by Step 3, then $\widetilde{\lambda}_v$ is an isometry onto its image. Recall that λ_v is defined as an extension of the morphism

$$\lambda_v^s : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(M_v^s, \mathbb{Q}), \qquad \lambda_v^s(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\rho}[p_*(q^*(\alpha^\vee \cdot \sqrt{td(S)}) \cdot ch(\mathscr{F})]_1,$$

where ρ is the similitude of $\mathscr{F}$ and p, q are the two projections of $S \times M_v^s$ onto M_v^s and S respectively. As $ch(\mathscr{F}) \in H^{2*}(S \times M_v^s, \mathbb{Q})$, and as M_v^s is (up to isomorphism) an open subset of $\widetilde{M}_v$, taking the closure of the cycles $ch_i(\mathscr{F})$ we get a class $c \in H^{2*}(S \times \widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Q})$, whose component $c_i \in H^{2i}(S \times \widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Q})$ represents a $(i,i)-$class. Let $\widetilde{p}$ and $\widetilde{q}$ be the projections of $S \times \widetilde{M}_v$ onto $\widetilde{M}_v$ and S respectively, and consider the morphism

$$\widetilde{\mu}_v : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Q}), \qquad \widetilde{\mu}_v(\alpha) := \frac{1}{\rho}[\widetilde{p}_*(\widetilde{q}^*(\alpha^\vee \cdot \sqrt{td(S)}) \cdot c)]_1.$$

On $v^\perp$ and $H^2(\widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Q})$ we have pure weight-two Hodge structures, and $\widetilde{\mu}_v$ is a Hodge morphism. Now, a priori the morphisms $\widetilde{\lambda}_v$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_v$ are not equal, but we have the following:

Lemma 3.13. *For every* $\omega \in (v^\perp)^{2,0}$ *we have* $\widetilde{\lambda}_v(\omega) = \widetilde{\mu}_v(\omega)$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{i}_v : \pi_v^{-1}(M_v^s) \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}_v$ be the inclusion. By the very definition of $\widetilde{\lambda}_v$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_v$, for every $\omega \in (v^\perp)^{2,0}$ we have $\widetilde{i}_v^*(\widetilde{\lambda}_v(\omega)) = \widetilde{i}_v^*(\widetilde{\mu}_v(\omega))$. Moreover, the kernel of the morphism $\widetilde{i}_v^* : H^2(\widetilde{M}_v, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^2(\pi_v^{-1}(M_v^s), \mathbb{Q})$ is $\mathbb{Q} \cdot c_1(\widetilde{\Sigma}_v)$, so that $\widetilde{\lambda}_v(\omega) - \widetilde{\mu}_v(\omega) = qc_1(\widetilde{\Sigma}_v)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. But

$$(\widetilde{\lambda}_v(\omega) - \widetilde{\mu}_v(\omega)) \cdot \delta = 0,$$

so that $q = 0$, and $\widetilde{\lambda}_v(\omega) = \widetilde{\mu}_v(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in (v^\perp)^{2,0}$. ☐

As $\widetilde{\mu}_v$ is a Hodge morphism, by Lemma 3.13 we have that $\widetilde{\lambda}_v(\omega) \in H^{2,0}(\widetilde{M}_v)$ for every $\omega \in (v^\perp)^{2,0}$. Now, consider $\alpha \in v^\perp \cap (\widetilde{H}^{2,0}(S) \oplus \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S))$. Then, for every $\omega \in (v^\perp)^{2,0}$ we have $(\alpha, \omega) = 0$, where $(.,.)$ is the Mukai pairing on $v^\perp$. As $\widetilde{\lambda}_v$ is an isometry by assumption, we have then

$$\widetilde{q}_v(\widetilde{\lambda}_v(\alpha), \widetilde{\lambda}_v(\omega)) = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{q}_v$ is the Beauville form of the irreducible symplectic manifold $\widetilde{M}_v$. But this implies that $\widetilde{\lambda}_v(\alpha) \in H^{2,0}(\widetilde{M}_v) \oplus H^{1,1}(\widetilde{M}_v)$ for every $\alpha \in v^\perp \cap (\widetilde{H}^{2,0}(S) \oplus \widetilde{H}^{1,1}(S))$. In conclusion, we see that $\widetilde{\lambda}_v$ respects the Hodge filtrations, hence it is a Hodge morphism, and we are done. ☐

Aknowledgements

We would like to thank Kieran O'Grady and Christoph Sorger for helpful conversation and disponibility. We thank Manfred Lehn, Yasunari Nagai, Markus Zowislok for useful conversation, and Chiara Camere for having signaled some mistakes in a preliminary draft of this work. The first author was supported by the SFB/TR 45 'Periods, Moduli spaces and Arithmetic of Algebraic Varieties' of the DFG (German Research Fundation).

References

[1] Beauville, A.: Variétés kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle, J. Differential Geometry **18**, 755–782 (1983).

[2] Dimca, A.: Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces. Universitext, Springer-Verlag (1992).

[3] Elkik, R.: Rationalité des singularités canoniques. Invent. Math. **64**, 1–6 (1981).

[4] Eisenbud, D., Harris, J.: The geometry of schemes. GTM **197**, Springer-Verlag, New York (2000).

[5] Flenner, H., Kosarew, S.: On locally trivial deformations. Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **23**, 627–665 (1987).

[6] Fantechi, B., Manetti, M.: Obstruction calculus for functors of Artin rings, I. J. of Alg. **202**, 541–576 (1998).

[7] Huybrechts, D., Lehn, M.: The Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves. Aspects of Mathematics E **31**, Vieweg Verlag (1997).

[8] Kaledin, D., Lehn, M., Sorger, C.: Singular Symplectic Moduli Spaces. Invent. Math. **164**, 591–614 (2006).

[9] Kollár, J., Mori, S.: Birational geometry of algebraic varieties. Cambride Tracts in Mathematics **134**, Cambridge University Press (1998).

[10] Le Potier, J.: Module des fibrés semi-stables et fonctions theta. Let. Notes Pure and Appl. Math. **179**, 129–146 (1996).

[11] Lehn, M., Sorger, C.: La singularité de O'Grady. J. Algebraic Geometry **15**, 756–770 (2006).

[12] Mukai, S.: Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface, Invent. Math. **77**, 101–116 (1984).

[13] Mukai, S.: On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces I, in Vector Bundles on Algebraic Varieties, Bombay (1984).

[14] O'Grady, K.: The Weight-two Hodge Structure of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves on a K3 Surface, J. Algebraic Geometry **6** (4), 599–644 (1997).

[15] O'Grady, K.: Desingularized Moduli Spaces of Sheaves on a K3 Surface. J. Reine. Angew. Math. **512**, 49–117 (1999).

[16] O'Grady, K.: A new six-dimensional irreducible symplectic variety. J. Algebraic Geometry **12** (3), 435–505 (2003).

[17] Oguiso, K.: Picard numbers in a family of hyperkähler manifolds - A supplement to the article of R. Borcherds, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev, N. I. Shepherd-Barron. Preprint version: arXiv:math.AG/0011258v1.

[18] Perego, A.: The 2-factoriality of the O'Grady moduli spaces. Math. Ann. **346** (2), 367–391 (2009).

[19] Rapagnetta, A.: On the Beauville Form of the Known Irreducible Symplectic Varieties. Math. Ann. **321**, 77–95 (2008).

[20] Rapagnetta, A.: Topological invariants of O'Grady's six dimensional irreducible symplectic variety. Math. Z. **256**, 1–34 (2007).

[21] Schlessinger, M.: Functor of Artin rings. Trans. of the Am. Math. Soc. **130** (2), 208–222 (1968).

[22] Yoshioka, K.: Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces. Preprint version: math/9907001.

[23] Yoshioka, K.: Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces, Math. Ann. **321** (4), 817–884 (2001).

[24] Yoshioka, K.: A note on Fourier-Mukai transform, Preprint version: arXiv:math.AG/0112267 v3.

[25] Yoshioka, K.: Some notes on the moduli of stable sheaves on elliptic surfaces, Nagoya Math. J. **154**, 73–102 (1999).

[26] Zowislok, M.: On moduli spaces of semistable sheaves on K3 surfaces. PhD Thesis, http://ubm.opus.hbz-nrw.de/volltexte/2010/2287.

Arvid Perego, Fachbereich Physik, Mathematik u. Informatik, Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany.
E-mail address: `perego@mathematik.uni-mainz.de`

Antonio Rapagnetta, Dipartimento di Matematica dell'Università di Roma II - Tor Vergata, 00133 Roma, Italy.
E-mail address: `rapagnet@mat.uniroma2.it`